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As Far As It Goes: Thoughts on Replication

Dr. David Hartmann, Chair

There is a supposed to be a replication problem in sociology. The supposed problem is that, relative to the natural sciences and especially to medicine, we do not reproduce the important results of other sociologists. I will ignore the usual glib dismissals that “Well, we don’t have any important (enough) results in the first place” and that, “Most sociology studies are so poorly designed or described that they cannot be reproduced.” There is not, or rather there need not be much to either of these objections and I think, as far as it goes, that they amount to evasions of the more serious issue. That phrase, “as far as it goes” is at the heart of our problem. Willfully or not, out of laziness or practice, we are not very precise in our science. Put as a virtue, we are a humanistic science that recognizes the inherent complexity of human life and its irreducibility to simplifying descriptions. Less defensively, precision is not the only virtue, even in science and in some circumstances and for some purposes scientific claims may be too precise. Nevertheless, the
"We are a humanistic science that recognizes the inherent complexity of human life..."

imprecision of so much of our dialogue - our statements about our combinations of method, theory, result and implication - matters tremendously to our ability to use tools such as replication and sometimes to our credibility.

Mills and others scolded that this is a protean strategy – Proteus we remember, would change shapes to evade capture – literally to avoid being pinned down. Of course, it was in Proteus’ nature to act that way and perhaps it is in ours as well. I think our reliance on metaphors is indicative. Replication and triangulation in sociology, for example, are fundamentally tropes recruited to address the theme of precision and credibility. In a phrase, they are used figuratively though we pretend they are not. Aside: is it clear that replication and triangulation in social science are tropes – that they have more or less known meanings in their native lands but are used heterogeneically in ours? Anyway, we heirs of the Greeks are a storytelling lot and it is useful to recall that scientists too are telling stories, always partial and always from a particular perspective (narrative omniscience is another trope). This is not cause for despair and, as far as it goes, we don’t worry much about these partialities.

The point is that there is no possibility of substituting the real for the story. The business of science is telling stories that meet our current standards of narrative construction and the products of science are those stories – technology and policy, for example, may be informed by but are not themselves science. What can replication mean under such a model? Can two people, even constrained by the rules of the method, ever write the same story (paint the same picture, give the same performance...)? If one takes seriously the idea that scientific inquiry is an interactive and constructivist endeavor, there are severe limits to the possibility of replication, even if the rules of method were more precise than they are and replication were more highly valued than it is. So here is the serious part, as far as it goes. Among the needs of hard, soft and semipermeable scientists is the desire to matter. To matter to others – more precisely to their conversations - in a way that survives the day and hour. Methodological standards are derivative of that need. For example, replication suggests that a thing – observed or explained along the description/prediction continuum - is better relied upon when it acts more or less as we expect it to. If you do something that we are currently willing to call research and I do something more or less as you did, it would be groovy if similar things similarly behaved came out of the clearing smoke. That’s it, that’s all we want. But how or how well can the likenesses
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Dr. Elena Gapova received the 2013 Association for Women in Slavic Studies Outstanding Achievement Award for her work in Slavic and East European Gender Studies and for mentoring young scholars in this field. Congratulations Dr. Gapova!


Award: Gunter, Whitney D. (February, 2014). Discovery and Dissemination Award, College of Arts and Sciences, Western Michigan University.


Nathaniel Enyedi, from Akron, OH 44313 is currently employed at Oriana House, Inc. as a Caseworker. Oriana House is a residential institutional probation program. He graduated with his BA in June 2013.

Dr. Ladel Lewis (Phd, 2012) joined Meaningful Evidence as a Senior Research Strategist in the Spring of 2013. With nearly a decade of social science research experience, she creates, develops, and manages research projects designed to determine the merit, worth, and significance of organizations and programs. Meaningful Evidence is an agency that provides services such as grant writing, program evaluation, needs assessment and strategic research.

Hello, my name is Cynthia Marie Smith. I live in Detroit, Michigan. My Bachelor of Arts majoring in Social Psychology minoring in Social Work was conferred upon me by President Dieter Haniecke on April 26, 1997. I will graduate from Concordia University in Portland, Oregon December 14, 2013 with a Master Degree in Education of Educational Leadership! I could not do it without my upbringing and training from Western Michigan University as a BRONCO! Thank You & GOD BLESS AMERICA! MISS CYNTHIA MARIE SMITH, B.A., Med

Tasha Turner (Freeman) (MA, 2013) is now a graduate research assistant at Roosevelt University and living in Schaumburg, IL. She got married this past year and is also expecting her second child any day now!

Dr. Adrienne Trier-Bieniek (PhD, 2011) and Patricia Leavy edited a book, Gender & Pop Culture Sense Publishers, 2014. This comprehensive, interdisciplinary text provides text-book style introductory and concluding chapters written by the editors, seven original contributor chapters on key topics and written in a variety of writing styles, discussion questions, additional resource and more.

Dr. Trier-Bieniek has also recently received tenure at the Valencia College, Orlando, FL.

Congratulations Dr. Emily Lenning (PhD 2008) and Dr. Sarah Brightman (PhD, 2011)! Dr. Lenning (left) was awarded the “Outstanding Faculty Member of the Year” award and Dr. Brightman (right) won an award for being one of the top ten advisors at Fayetteville State University, North Carolina!

We are so proud of our alumnae!
Graduate Student Awards

Departmental Awards:
Departmental Level Graduate Teaching Effectiveness Award, and All-University Nominee: Jennifer Marson
Departmental Level Graduate Teaching Effectiveness Award, and All-University Honorable Mention: Matthew Klepac
Departmental Level Graduate Research & Creative Scholar Award, and All-University Nominee: Amanda Smith
Departmental Level Graduate Research and Creative Scholar Award, and All-University Honorable Mention: Daniel Patten
Departmental Level Graduate Research and Creative Scholar Awards, MA Level: Matthew M. Reid

MA Graduates: Jessica A. Church, Tasha N. Freeman, Dennis R. Gagliardo, Traci D. Joseph, Simon J. Purdy, Brian W. Rossana

PhD Graduate: Dr. Lisa M. Kruse

The Wally Post Award: Matt Reid (rt) and Jessie Sullivan (lft)


Graduate Student Association Awards:
Outstanding Graduate Student Instructor: Jennifer Marson
Outstanding Student Mentor, MA: Matt Reid
Outstanding Student Mentor, PhD: Jessie Sullivan
Outstanding Student Researcher, MA: Rebecca Sevin
Outstanding Student Researcher, PhD: Amanda Smith and Andrew Verheek
Outstanding Graduate Teaching Assistant: Rebecca Sevin
Outstanding Faculty Mentor: Dr. Greg Howard

Undergraduate Student Awards

Presidential Scholar: Sara DeRaud
Criminal Justice Scholar: Emma McLaren
Sociology Scholar: Nino Sujashvili
Kercher Center Scholar: Thea Sims
Stanley and Helenan Robin Scholar: Marilyn Bean
As Far As It Goes...

in method and theory and result be assured? They are all, as far as they go, interactively constructed parts of an indeterminate whole (so said William James, so said the Buddha) and are therefore only comparable in part—by functional analogy as it were—to those in another study. By analogy to Heisenberg it may well be that we pin down methods precision, for example, at the cost of theoretical generality.

This is the point where consideration of the related concept of triangulation may help. Like replication, triangulation was often thought of as a methods issue. Denzin and others showed that it was also a theory problem and for many purposes these aspects were inseparable. To triangulate is a measurement technique (in navigation and surveying and even in social science) but that measurement is, of course, of some thing distinguished from its background and therefore in service to what we generally call theoretical notions of demarcation, significance and so on.

As far as it goes, this is solid ground (a metaphor if ever there were one). But the ground metaphor ineluctably calls up the idea of standing on one piece of turf as opposed to another which is both the basis of triangulation as a useful metaphor but is also the basis of its limitation. Social science triangulation, especially as we emphasize the theoretical side, fails when standpoints are incommensurable. And to complete the circle, commensurability itself is always a metaphor, an as far as it goes heuristic. Strict derivations of commensurable measurements may or may not be “good enough” for practical problems of measurement in navigation, surveying and even in social science. But they do not hold all the way down. That is a problem and an opportunity, as problems in the Deweyian sense always are, to push issues of conceptual and operational correspondence.

And push we must—I suppose the metaphor here is Sisyphus—though we do not expect to top the hill. Perhaps even less so than the humanities (even the supposedly post-canonic versions), science makes few claims to immortality and universality. That is the business of poets and priests and, with the infrequent exception that proves the rule we have few priests and prophets and demagogues in social science—though perhaps more than our share as Weber warned. We might have fewer still if we paid more attention to the limits of replication and triangulation and what counts as credible. But these gaps in commensurability, in clarity, in foregrounding and backgrounding and mattering (not even to mention the strained metaphor of causality—another time perhaps) are inherent in our perceptual and cognitive and communicative potentialities. We are engaged, as far as our professional lives go, in a quest for something we call certainty but what we mean by that is provisional and halting and only at best “good enough” while always also wrong.

Replication is worth pursuing, as far as it goes, but is not catchable. It is another reminder of the limitations of thought, scientific thought included. What we do is “representing without knowing” the object while at the same time “knowing” that there can be no such thing as an object apart from our creativity. And for better and for worse, our creativity is endless. Science and humane letters of the post-whatever variety may not be so far apart—both admit that they see as through a glass darkly and I suspect but cannot prove that both, in the deep heart’s core, think enlightenment may yet come.
Scholars at Work

The Department of Sociology has been awarded “The Sociologists for Women in Society's Seal of Approval for Faculty Gender Equity.

This award recognizes departments that excel in creating a climate that is welcoming to women and gender scholars.


“Political Consumption: Individual Action or Social Movement”, John Chew.


Dr. Elena Gapova presented "Gender and Class Issues in the Pussy Riot Case" at Davis Center for Russian and East European Studies, Harvard University (March 6, 2014).


Joseph Abbott, Melinda McCormick, Amanda Smith, Jessie Sullivan, and Andy Verheek, became officially ABD in 2014! Congratulations!
Conservation and Sustainability in Thought and Action. Organizers: Stu Shaver; Tim Bauer, WMU; Jennifer Dunn.

Consumption and People Power: A Case Study of a Proposed Ordinance on Urban Backyard Chicken Keeping – Traci D. Joseph, WMU.

Women’s Persistence in Undergraduate Astronomy: The Roles of Support, Interest, and Capital- Melinda M. McCormick, WMU; Ramon S. Barthelemy, WMU.

Sexy Sociology: Research into the Intimate. Organizer: Matt Reid, WMU.

This isn’t Christian Mingle: Gay Men Dating Online – Matt Reid, WMU.

A Game of Skill: Video Game Enthusiasm and Confidence with Computers – Rebecca Sevin, WMU.

Medicalization as Empowering? The Case of Fibromyalgia – Jessica R. Sullivan, WMU.

Service Learning and Social Justice: “Communiversity” in the classroom – Zoann K. Snyder, WMU.

You Mean to Say that Neighborhood Context Matters? The Use of Social Disorganization Theory to Better Understand Jail Reentry. - Andrew G. Verheek, WMU.
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