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WAG Shares the DOPE: Tools for Effective Writing of Course Assignments

International Writing Across the Curriculum Conference
Auburn University, June 4-6, 2018

Susan Caulfield, SIHP, Paula Andrasi, SIHP, & Lisa Singleterry, BSON

Introduction

Each of us received an Instructional Development Travel Grant to support our attendance at the 2018 International Writing Across the Curriculum Conference at Auburn University. We facilitated a three-hour workshop the afternoon of June 6, 2018. This workshop was an extension of work we have been doing in the College of Health and Human Services for the last 2 1/2 years. This work was originally supported by an Assessment Fellows Grant and focused on addressing assessment at the college level. To do that, we needed to focus on an area of competence that was relevant to all programs in the college. After reviewing the key goals that the college set back in 2005, and listening to colleagues on the college assessment committee, we decided to focus on the assessment of writing in the College of Health and Human Services.

From there, we led focus groups with faculty in the college, to discern what exactly they were looking for assignments, rather than the output from students. After facilitating 5 focus groups, there was an “aha” moment. While most members of the focus groups were faculty, had control. That led to the review of writing placements the responsibility for writing

The ‘Aha’ Moment

After facilitating 5 focus groups, there was an “aha” moment. While most members of the focus groups were placing the responsibility for writing-related frustrations solely in the hands of the students, we began to realize that the model might be more complex.

We also realized that while we had very little control over what students choose to bring to the process of writing, we could look at aspects over which we, as faculty, had control. That led to the review of writing assignments, rather than the output from students. Through this process, we found that some of our writing assignments had language that assumed knowledge, attitude or skills on the part of students that might not be present. From this, we developed a tool to aid us in the development of writing assignments. This tool is PODE, though we anagrammed it to DOPE for marketing our workshops.

WAG – Writing Assessment Group

Over the 2016-17 and 2017-18 academic years, we led workshops in the College of Health and Human Services, designed to help faculty develop better writing assignments and learn other tools related to creating better writing experiences for our students. We introduced the PODE model, as well as spent time on formative and summative assessment, evaluation of assignments, feedback as a gift, and use of rubrics. We presented on PODE, rubrics, and feedback at the 2018 IWAC workshop.

Listed below are the objectives for our workshop:

- Introduction to DOPE/PODE
- Interactive and engaged participants
- Outcomes include: Identify the different components of DOPE/PODE
- Recognize the role of rubrics in writing assignments
- Explain the role of feedback in writing assignments
- Describe the advantage of using a structured approach to developing writing assignments
- Interactive and Engaged Participants

We use active learning strategies in all our conference presentations, recognizing the importance of everyone being engaged and making the material interesting and useful.

One strategy we use is think/pair/share, where participants first process what they have experienced on their own, then discuss with one or two others, before sharing with the larger group.

The Role of Rubrics

We introduce rubrics primarily as a way to give students additional guidance on what we expect from them in terms of outcomes. Rubrics also allow us to emphasize the relative importance of different parts of an assignment (e.g., % of points to a section) and to hold ourselves accountable for any reductions in points.

Feedback As A Gift

We see feedback as a gift that we give to our students. In that vein, it is important that we reflect on what it means to receive a gift. For example, most of us like a gift that is unique to us, not necessarily something generic that is given to everyone. We also like a gift that makes us feel good. While one approach is to focus on the negative or on penalties, research suggests that only negative feedback has the opposite of the intended impact, as students stop reading feedback if it is primarily negative in content.

Evaluation

At the end of the workshop, we asked participants to reflect on the following questions:

- What was your biggest take-away from this workshop?
- Were there any surprises? If so, what were they?
- What activity from the workshop would you keep, and why?
- What activity from the workshop would you drop, and why?
- Any other feedback for the workshop facilitators?

Evaluation Results

Biggest take-away from workshop:

- Consistent structure; cycle of assess and revise assignments; role of effective rubrics

Any surprises from workshop:

- What I thought was clear could still be revised; taking a student perspective on assignment specifics; feedback as a gift

What activity from the workshop would you keep:

- Tips/disussion/rubrics made me think; how to communicate expectations; iterations of assignments

Future Impact from Attending IWAC 2018

We have submitted our work for publication in the edited collection, Making Connections, in honor of the 25th anniversary of IWAC.

We made connections with writing faculty and made possible connections for collaborative work.

We gathered materials to use in our 2018-19 Faculty Learning Community.
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