

10-1-2000

Teachers Role in Providing Culturally Responsive Literacy Instruction

William Dee Nichols
University of North Carolina at Charlotte

William H. Rupley
Texas A&M University

Gwendolyn Webb-Johnson
Texas A&M University

Gita Tlusty
University of North Carolina at Charlotte

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wmich.edu/reading_horizons



Recommended Citation

Nichols, W. D., Rupley, W. H., Webb-Johnson, G., & Tlusty, G. (2000). Teachers Role in Providing Culturally Responsive Literacy Instruction. *Reading Horizons: A Journal of Literacy and Language Arts*, 41 (1). Retrieved from https://scholarworks.wmich.edu/reading_horizons/vol41/iss1/1

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Special Education and Literacy Studies at ScholarWorks at WMU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Reading Horizons: A Journal of Literacy and Language Arts by an authorized editor of ScholarWorks at WMU. For more information, please contact wmu-scholarworks@wmich.edu.



Teachers Role in Providing Culturally Responsive Literacy Instruction

William Dee Nichols

University of North Carolina at Charlotte

William H. Rupley

Texas A&M University

Gwendolyn Webb-Johnson

Texas A&M University

Gita Thlusty

University of North Carolina at Charlotte

Abstract

Every elementary classroom has children of varying intellectual ability, social or cultural background, language facility, and physical attributes. Today, more than ever, all teachers must be prepared to meet the varying educational, social, and emotional needs of all children. The culture of many students, while different from that upon which much of the U.S. educational system is based, is neither inadequate nor deficient; rather, the problem lies in the educational system. An educational system that bases its expectations, delivery, and curricular content on the norms of the mainstream population is insufficient for students of culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds (Banks, 1994; Cushner, McClelland, & Safford, 1996). As a result, students from culturally and linguistically different backgrounds often experience a mismatch between home and school expectations (Faltis, 1997;

Nieto, 1996). This cultural discontinuity often results in a misunderstanding between teachers and students in the classroom. Thus, to ensure equal educational opportunities for all students, the educational system must be transformed so that all students have an equal chance to succeed.

When culturally and linguistically diverse students enter school, a major challenge for service providers is meeting the unique needs of each child. Many children bring with them experiences and socialized patterns of behavior that have not traditionally been valued in public school contexts (Banks & Banks, 1997). Multicultural school reform challenges educators to design and implement culturally enriched and educationally sound instruction from a strength perspective as opposed to one that is based on the traditional deficit model of instruction (Delpit, 1995). The growing and changing demographics in classrooms throughout the United States requires that educators develop and construct culturally responsive instruction (Delpit, 1995; Ladson-Billings, 1994).

Culturally and linguistically diverse students are on the same reading and learning continuum as other children; however, they often have experiences that are different from the mainstream. Culturally responsive reading instruction bridges the gap between the school and the world of the student. Culturally responsive instruction is consistent with the values of the students' own culture aimed at assuring academic learning. This type of reading instruction encourages teachers to adapt their instruction to meet the learning needs of all students. Without culturally congruent reading instruction, different emergent literacy experiences and exposure to literature from their own culture or from traditional American literature may inhibit their success in early literacy instruction. Also, culturally and linguistically diverse students may have literacy experiences that are different from what is expected by the school and traditional early literacy programs do not adequately prepare them for beginning reading instruction (Stahl, 1990). Sometimes well intended early reading activities fail with linguistically and culturally diverse students because they benefit from early reading activities that build on their strengths rather than concentrate on eliminating their weaknesses.

Constructing literacy experiences for all learners

Reading instruction provides the foundation for school development in all content area subjects and subsequent learning experiences. Students who belong to historically marginalized groups (i.e., African American, Hispanic American, Asian American, and Native American) are rarely included in research paradigms that describe and assess the academic impact or reading instruction from a cultural perspective. While the field is persistent in documenting how these groups fall behind their European American counterparts, it does little to assess the academic growth and development from a culturally contextualized perspective.

Historically, reading instruction has been based on European American paradigms that have defined appropriate socialized academic behaviors. The curriculum mirrored majority society with significant focus on reading experiences that often reflected middle income suburbia with mom, dad, two children, and family pet as constant models. While that paradigm includes many that are indeed members of society, the experiences, for example, of culturally diverse people who may be intricate parts of extended or low-income families, and single headed households, are often neglected. The National Assessment of Educational Progress (Applebee, Langer, & Mullis, 1988) predicts that by the year 2020 that one of every two young people will be of diverse background therefore changing the definition of majority society (Pallas, Natriello, & McDill, 1989). While literature patterns have begun to change, instruction in schools has been slower in implementing such changes in materials chosen by teachers. What is most promising about multicultural school reform however, is the potential for its impact on all children and youth. If educators are to strive toward successful academic reading outcomes for “all” children, it may be important to develop instructional strategies that empower all children to prosper. Exposure to a variety of reading experiences will enrich the lives of everyone.

Effective Reading Strategies for Diverse Learners

A significant body of literature exists related to students who are placed “at risk” for reading acquisition and development failure (Kunjufu, 1993). Often, students placed “at risk” are found in lower socioeconomic conditions and area part of non-mainstreamed culture. Approaches to teaching such students who are having difficulty in reading must address at least two areas. First, students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds may miss important ideas and focus on details associated with their cultural experiences rather than ideas presented by the author. If cultural discontinuity appears between the text and the students’ concept of important content, the students’ success in learning key information is jeopardized. Teachers using culturally compatible reading instruction can help the diverse student identify his or her own cultural individualism while they simultaneously learn more through literacy instruction (Au, 1993). Second, they may not use processing strategies that can help them learn and remember content. While many students think strategically to solve problems outside of school (Holiday, 1985), such reasoning does not always find its way into the classroom of students who experience difficulties with reading. Most children are strategic learners; they just are not able to recognize that the strategies they use in their home cultural context can and should be applied to learning and solving problems at school. Combining activities such as reciprocal teaching and retellings can help increase students of diverse needs ability to recognize the important and less relevant information.

Several case studies have addressed the problems of students placed “at risk” and the use of metacognitive strategies to improve reading comprehension in academic settings. Studies have emphasized reading improvement through the implementation of contextual instruction, reciprocal interactions, ReQuest, ReQar, interactive and dynamic assessment, characteristics of competent readers and co-listing reading classes (Brozo, 1990; Coley & Hoffman, 1990; Davis, 1990; Peresich, Meadows, & Sinatra, 1990). These studies also provide examples of strategies that are culturally responsive because they are deeply steeped in activities highlighting social relationships.

While highly socialized interaction strategies have proven beneficial to the teaching of reading for culturally and linguistically diverse students, additional challenges still face students from non-English speaking backgrounds. Krashen (1993) notes that when possible, developing literacy skills in a students' primary language enables them to transfer these skills into English at the appropriate time (Cummins, 1988; Krashen, 1993). In terms of developing their English reading skills, many educators feel that students with limited-English proficiency (LEP) need to understand and speak English before they can begin to read it (Brisbois, 1995). Other educators, on the other hand, feel that it is not necessary for students with LEP to master the oral language before they are introduced to the written form (Fitzgerald, 1993; Gonzales, 1994). It needs to be emphasized, however, that even though the research seems to be divided on whether students need to proficiently speak English before they can read it, the majority of the research seems to be in agreement that students with limited-English proficiency will learn to read better if the initial reading instruction is in their native language (Allen, 1994; Krashen, 1993; Schifini, 1994). Teachers should be responsive to the student's home language by allowing the students' cultural language to exist in the classroom and build upon this first language.

Although students should not use their first language as a crutch, they should have the opportunity to experience the connection between their language and the mainstream language. Helping students recognize the important connection between vocabulary and word development of the native and mainstream language improves languages development, especially through the use of concept webs and vocabulary. In addition, Gonzales (1994) and Brisbois (1995) determined that students could learn to read in their native language and English at the same time if teachers use strategies that are mutually reinforcing and provide adequate vocabulary instruction in both languages.

Second-language learners benefit from reading programs that incorporate a range of contexts, both social and functional, and in which reading begins, develops, and is used as a means of communication. Adapting several reading approaches can help linguistically diverse students become effective, efficient users of written English. For example, the dual language reading approach makes use of native

language and literacy skills to strengthen the reading process of speakers whose English is limited. Primary language reading materials, tradebooks, basal texts, and other instructional materials can help strengthen bilingual reading programs when combined with instruction that encourages students to see the connection between their native language and the language to be learned.

Direct Instruction Combined with Literature-Based Activities

Perhaps the most radical procedures for helping culturally and linguistically diverse students get off to a successful start in literacy instruction are found in academic early reading programs. Some of these programs concentrate on the direct teaching of specific language and reading skills in which the teacher presents stimuli designed to elicit language responses. Integrating specific language activities that encourage student involvement is critical for early intervention of language development, especially for students who have limited opportunities to experience literacy outside the classroom. A wide range of literature-based activities combined with such a program can enrich students' early reading experiences. Skill activities associated with direct explicit instruction are considered the sole focus of this program. Skills are taught throughout the school day by engaging students in meaningful language that focuses on acquisition of each goal. For example, Mr. Goetz, a fifth grade language arts teacher, purposefully engages in conversation with various culturally diverse students. Mr. Goetz explains that the focus of the lesson is to use the magazines placed on their desks to individually create a collage of words that they have been learning from their vocabulary list. The teacher walks over to the desk of a Hispanic student and engages him in a conversation to incorporate meaningful language related to the collage instructions. He asks, "Miquel, I noticed that that you do not have a pair of scissors. Where are the scissors in the classroom?"

Miquel is encouraged to respond in a language manner that describes where the scissors are. Miquel answers, "The scissors are on the big table, Mr. Goetz."

While the primary focus of the lesson is vocabulary development using functional text, the secondary purpose is to engage students in meaningful language exchanges.

Brisbois (1995) discusses the importance of vocabulary instruction, and word recognition instruction in the second language. Word recognition begins with the production of isolated words in the clarification of meaning as related to the learner. Mr. Goetz prints the word *man* on the chalkboard. As he points to the word, he explains the rule, "This is a word."

He then follows his statement with an identity statement, "This is the word man." He encourages the students to respond with complete identity statements. Mr. Goetz begins by asking questions such as, "Is this the word dog?" Ina raises her hand and responds, "That's man, not dog." Mr. Goetz responds by recognizing Ina's correct answer but encourages Ina and other students to respond to the answer by using complete identity statements. "Good job, Ina. That's correct. Could you answer the question with the sentence structure that I suggested earlier?"

Ina answers, "Okay. No, that is not the word dog. That is the word man." Mr. Goetz responds, "That's exactly right, Ina!" Gestures are used to illustrate action words and students are invited to suggest other words they wish to learn. If no one volunteers a word the teacher supplies another word that has meaning for the students.

Word identification exercises can help students to acquire a sight vocabulary useful for developing simple sentences. As students learn to recognize their own names, they then receive instruction on recognizing other children's names. New sets of words (such as *is not* and *big*) can be added to construct sentences. Meaning is stressed in sentence reading by having students answer questions about their reading.

Mr. Goetz implemented this approach during his literacy instruction. "Now that we've completed the reading about Joe, here are some questions I want you to think about. First, could someone tell me this? Is Joe big?" Amy, a Native American student, answers, "No, he's not." Mr. Goetz responds to Amy, "That's correct. Can you tell me a

little more about Joe using the words we have covered in our vocabulary list?" Amy tries again this time answering; "Joe is not big." Mr. Goetz then asks the rest of the class, "Is Joe little?" Rashim raises his hand and responds, "Yes, Joe is little." After students progress with such basic tasks, they then apply their capabilities to read from teacher-prepared booklets.

A variation of this technique is the key word or word bank approach, (Ashton-Warner, 1963; McCracken & McCracken, 1979; Weaver, 1994). With this approach, each student develops a personalized set of word cards. To do this, the teacher asks each student which word he/she wants to learn for the day and writes the word on a card for the student. The student then illustrates the other side of the card. The student can make a drawing of the word on a separate sheet of paper accompanied by either a student written or dictated sentence. Each day, students read their word cards either individually or in pairs in association with a variety of activities. For example, words can be categorized by similarities or differences. Students can develop oral language skills by describing a word and having a classmate identify the word. Thus, with this technique students construct reading vocabularies based on words of interest to them.

A beginning reading program can serve as an extension of the language development program. It can be designed to help students become familiar with letter names, associate pictures visually with their naming words, recognize and produce rhyming words, and learn and use a limited number of sight words. Cognitive-embedded tasks can help relate these activities to real-life experiences.

Another way to provide students with positive literacy experiences in early reading programs is to use predictable reading materials that involve choral reading. Patterned books have stories with predictable features such as rhyming and repeated phrases or refrains that promote language development (Norton, 1995). Patterned books frequently contain pictures that may facilitate story comprehension. The predictable patterns immediately involve beginning second language readers in a literacy event in their first or second language. Books with patterned structure can provide modeling as a reading strategy, challenge students'

current level of linguistic competence, and provide assistance in comprehending difficult concepts.

Comprehension through the repetition of a simple sentence pattern can motivate second language learners to continue trying to learn to read. The process is important for developmental purposes and teaches students to use contextual and syntactic features of the text to identify the repeated words or phrases. These fully illustrated stories repeat simple patterns that second language learners can use to begin the reading process. Students become familiar with the story and language patterns and soon begin to create their own text with their own illustrations. In the early stages of second language acquisition, the use of the first and second language is critical for conceptual development. Later, as the second language proficiency develops, the student will focus on learning English. Patterned books' most important function is to offer immediate access to meaningful, enjoyable literacy experiences, which facilitates life long readers.

Choral reading has also been used successfully in promoting language learning for linguistically diverse students. The benefits of using choral reading to enhance children's acquisition of a second language are that it: (1) creates a low-anxiety environment, (2) provides repeated practice, (3) is based on comprehensible input, and (4) incorporates drama (McCauley & McCauley, 1992). Implementing choral reading for second language learners begins with identifying poems and adapting them for the students. Poems should cover familiar topics for the students. For second language learners, the poems can contain a lot of action and allow lines to be added to help clarify vocabulary and emphasize meaning. After the poem has been selected and adapted, McCauley and McCauley (1992) suggest the following general procedure:

1. Give quick, interesting introduction. Focus on getting students involved in talking about the poem;
2. Read the poem aloud to students. Use expression, sound effects, and movements that the poem could represent;

3. Make copies of the poem available to the students;
4. Read the poem again to the students as they follow along;
5. Read the poem with the students slowly at first, and gradually increase the speed of reading. Appropriate movements and sound effects also should be included; and
6. Give lines to the students when they feel comfortable with the words and movements. Students who want solo lines may be given them.

Practice reading the poem with assigned parts.

Recognize a job well done with applause, verbal praise, or both.

Basal Reading Programs

Basal reading programs are still used throughout the United States, and teachers of linguistically diverse students often choose to use them to teach reading. However, teachers should be aware of special considerations when using basal readers as the primary method of teaching reading. Among these considerations are (1) special attention to developing background concepts and vocabulary in depth before reading, (2) skillful questioning during silent reading to identify and clear up misunderstandings and to enhance the students' comprehension, and (3) specific emphasis on listening to and understanding the communicative function of language, rather than fluid oral reading.

Today many of the basal series have integrated a literature approach to teaching reading and have become more sensitive to teaching reading skills in the context of the literature (Elderedge, Reutzel, & Hollingsworth, 1996). They have also shifted their design to include more shared reading approaches, rather than just teacher directed reading approaches (Hoffman, McCarthey, Abbot, Christian, Corman, Curry, Dressman, Elliot, Matherne, & Stahle, 1993). However, an alternative to using only a basal reader program for instruction is to use basal readers and literature together in teaching literacy. Some individuals (Delpit, 1991) have indicated that culturally and linguistically diverse students may need a bridge from the basal series to a more process-focused

literature-based program. Combining features of direct instruction with literature of the home culture of students from diverse backgrounds may benefit students.

Morrow (1992) conducted research in second-grade classrooms on how an integrated basal and literature-based reading program affected the literacy achievement of culturally diverse children. The features of her integrated program included:

1. Literacy centers within each classroom providing students access to a variety of books and literacy materials such as multiple genres of children's literature, comfortable seating, and manipulatives (felt stories, roll movies, and taped stories);
2. Teacher-guided activities helping students understand what they could do and should do. Activities included teachers' use of modeling and scaffolding, the use of the directed reading-thinking activity (DRTA), and retellings using both books and props (felt stories, puppets, and roll movies); and
3. Independent reading and writing periods to allow students to choose between working alone or with others, and to select from a variety of activities ranging from retelling to dramatizing stories. The basal reader portion of the literacy instruction continued with the inclusion of the literature-based reading instruction.

Morrow's study showed that students from diverse backgrounds had improved literacy achievement. Concerns about whether students from diverse backgrounds would benefit from integrating the basal reader approach with a literature-based approach were unfounded. Components of the program that might account for its success involved teachers demonstrating, facilitating, and participating in literature activities with high expectations for their students. In addition, the program featured literature reflecting the various cultural backgrounds found in the classrooms.

Integrating Culturally Responsive Reading Strategies

Diverse cultural literature is one means to move closer toward becoming a nation that is accepting and tolerant of all cultures. For our country to develop an understanding and acceptance of others, we will have to become educated about the cultural heritage of many groups. One of the most effective ways to accomplish this task is to incorporate multiethnic and multicultural literature into our classrooms. Multiethnic literature helps students discover the intricacies of a language, as well as the people's history and culture (Bieger, 1996; Godina, 1996). In addition, when students read literature they encounter a multitude of characters that are both similar to and different from themselves. Each character of a story is driven by certain emotions and must deal with the problems and joys of life in various ways. How the heroes and heroines react and cope provides students with insights and information well beyond their own personal experiences.

According to Banks (1994) there are four levels that teachers can use to integrate ethnic content into the curriculum. The lowest levels of integration are the "contributions approach" and the "ethnic additive approach." Teachers who use only the "contributions approach" have their students read only about the contributions of ethnic groups such as reading only about ethnic holidays, heroes, and customs. The "ethnic additive approach" does add content, concepts, and themes that reflect diverse cultures, but it does not integrate it into the existing curriculum. Instead, it may become a unit that is taught for a month out of the year and then forgotten. Teachers should be encouraged to use the two highest levels of multicultural integration ("transformation approach" and "social action approach") when designing curriculum. Use of the "transformation approach" completely reconstructs the curriculum in order to allow students to view concerns, themes, problems, and concepts from the perspective of diverse groups. This is not a unit that lasts a month, but instead is a commitment to culturally responsive instruction throughout the whole year. The highest form of cultural integration is the "social action approach." During this approach teachers select literature that allows students to identify social problems and concerns and to read about how the main character made decisions and took action to solve the problem. Through the modeling of the character's actions students learn how to identify and resolve problems related to cultural differences.

Teachers can integrate a social action approach into their curriculum by using literature based reading instruction. Literature-based instruction for culturally and linguistically diverse students can feature stories from various cultures and languages displayed around the classroom; writings of students covering the walls like ribboned wallpaper; portfolios showing drafts of creative writing pieces, such as journals of all kinds, letters, and poetry; and field notes of student activities. Integrating multiethnic literature into a school curriculum for second language learners helps students realize that all ethnic groups have roots in the past and a strong heritage that is a part of their culture (Bieger, 1996). Knowing about others from a similar culture encourages a sense of pride that builds a positive self-concept for students. They may discover by reading, that others from their own culture made significant contributions to society. Students encountering multiethnic literature as a part of their reading curriculum benefit academically and learn the social values and behaviors of people in society (Bieger, 1996; Godina, 1996).

Existing reading programs can easily integrate multiethnic literature for explicit reading instruction. Teachers can share stories both orally and in written form, and instruction can coordinate language arts exercises such as vocabulary, comprehension, writing, and language development in both the first and second languages. The use of literature is an excellent way to increase cultural awareness, build vocabulary and language, develop comprehension skills, and provide writing opportunities. Multiethnic literature can provide teaching opportunities for creating lessons on figurative language, examining the story's symbolism, and integrating context with idiomatic expressions. Such explorations help students understand meaning and enhance the development of language while stimulating interest in reading.

Using instructional strategies that create a culturally affirming perspective in the classroom to support reading instruction can be effective with culturally diverse learners. Examples of such strategies that can be implemented in K-8 reading environments where students may be experiencing difficulty with critical reading comprehension skills are Inventionisation and Footsteps in the Hall of Fame.

Inventionisation uses yearlong thematic units as its foundation of discovery in order to create a transformative approach that focuses on all cultures' contributions to scientific inquiry. Inventionisation begins by having students begin reading biographies of African Americans, Asian Americans, Hispanic Americans and Native Americans who are often not included in instructional delivery involving the work and inventions of Thomas Edison, Alexander Graham Bell, and Benjamin Franklin. Sharing with students the contributions of individuals like Lewis Latimer, who worked initially as a chief draftsman for Edison and Bell, provides an excellent foundation for discussions of the time period, inventions, and the critical reading skills necessary to assist in building a knowledge base. Sharing the diversity of people who have contributed to making our society more efficient and convenient can also be used as a vehicle to promote critical reading skills. Studying, for example, the development of the contact lens, the Bing Cherry, the Polio vaccine, blood plasma, government, and marital property rights will open the world of discovery from an Asian, European, African, Native, and Hispanic American perspective, while providing students the opportunity to engage in critical reading. Informational text such as *African-American Inventors* by McKissack & McKissack (1994) can be extremely beneficial while planning for Inventionisation units.

Footsteps in the Hall of Fame is a social action approach that examines the leadership of all Americans who contributed to the development of the United States regardless of their cultural heritage. Genres of biography and historical fiction can become the foundation of critical reading development during this type of activity. Study of people and periods from a socio-political and personal perspective allows students the opportunity to study the historical period and its people. Opportunities for generating gender consciousness can also be explored as all students are provided exposure to research and the study of men and women who are also culturally diverse at different time periods throughout our history. This culturally responsive activity can also be framed from an interdisciplinary perspective so that collaboration among teachers, parents, and students is a targeted goal in reading development. As students learn about each aspect of a person's life they earn footsteps into the Hall of Fame that highlights their development as a reading scholar of the period and of the person. Other projects can evolve from

this initial reading activity to highlight a variety of language arts within the classroom context (e.g., drama, writing, oratory, and media presentations).

Summary

The ability to deal effectively with student differences is crucial to teaching reading. Teachers must address the needs of culturally and linguistically diverse students in the regular classroom. The traditional methods of literature instruction are now challenged to adapt and evolve to the ever-changing young adult population. Although current methods of reading instruction are effective, they are based mostly on European American paradigms. Therefore, educators are also challenged to find innovative techniques that reflect the needs of culturally and linguistically diverse students. Culturally responsive reading instruction offers exciting changes to traditional curriculum. Overall, culturally congruent instruction provides an educationally compatible avenue for all students to benefit and excel.

Creating successful opportunities for students with limited proficiency in English requires an understanding of nonstandard dialects, characteristics of foreign languages spoken by the students in the classroom, and students' cultural values. Speaking with a dialect or a primary language other than English presents the need for special consideration for culturally and linguistically diverse students in learning to read in a traditional general education classroom. A sensitive and knowledgeable teacher who uses culturally responsive reading instruction can address these needs. The ability of teachers to handle differences effectively translates into instructional practices providing self-respect and security for all students in the classroom. Teachers who tailor reading instruction enhancing the needs of all students truly transform the literacy paradigm for students who have historically belonged to marginalized groups and thereby speak to the needs of all students.

References

- Allen, V. (1994). Selecting materials for the reading instruction of ESL children. In K. Sprangenburg & R. Pritchard (Eds.), *Kids come in all languages: Reading instruction for all students* (pp. 108-131). Newark DE: International Reading Association.
- Applebee, A. N., Langer, J. A., & Mullis, I. V. S. (1988). *Who reads best: Factors related to reading achievement in grades 3, 7, and 11*. Princeton, NJ: National Assessment of Educational Progress, Educational Testing Service.
- Ashton-Warner, S. (1963). *Teacher*. London: Bantam Books.
- Au, K. (1993). *Literacy instruction in multicultural settings*. Orlando, FL: Harcourt Brace College Publishers.
- Banks, J. A. (1994). Transforming the mainstream curriculum. *Educational Leadership*, 51, 4-8.
- Banks, J. A., & Banks, C. A. (1997). *Multicultural education: Issues and perspectives* (3rd edition). Needham Heights, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Bieger, E. M. (1996). Promoting multicultural education through a literature-based approach. *The Reading Teacher*, 49, 308-312.
- Brisbois, J. E. (1995). Connections between first- and second-language learners. *Journal of Reading Behavior*, 27, 565-584.
- Brozo, W. G. (1990). Learning how at-risk readers learn best: A case for interactive assessment. *Journal of Reading*, 33, 552-527.
- Coley, J. D., & Hoffman, D. M. (1990). Overcoming learned helplessness in at-risk readers. *Journal of Reading*, 33, 497-502.
- Cummins, J. (1988). Language proficiency, bilingualism and academic achievement. In P. Richard-Amato (Ed.), *Making it Happen: Interaction in the Second Language Classroom* (pp. 382-395). New York: Longman.
- Cushner, K., McClelland, A., & Safford, P. (1996). *Human diversity in education: An integrative approach*, 2nd edition. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Davis, S. L. (1990). Applying context study skills in co-listed reading classrooms. *Journal of Reading*, 33, 277-281.
- Delpit, L. D. (1991). A conversation with Lisa Delpit. *Language Arts*, 68, 541-547.
- Delpit, L. D. (1995). *Other people's children*. New York: New Press.
- Elderedge, J. L., Reutzel, D. R., & Hollingsworth, P. M. (1996). Comparing the effectiveness of two oral reading practices: Round-

- robin reading and the shared book experiences. *Journal of Literacy Research*, 28, 201-225.
- Faltis, C. (1997). *Joinfostering: Adapting teaching for the multicultural classroom*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill.
- Fitzgerald, J. (1993). Literacy and students who are learning English as a second language. *The Reading Teacher*, 46, 638-647.
- Godina, H. (1996). The canonical debate-implementing multicultural literature and perspectives. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 39, 544-549.
- Gonzales, P. (1994). Second language literacy. *Illinois Reading Council Journal*, 22, 13-16.
- Hoffman, J. V., McCarthy, S. J., Abbot, J., Christian, C., Corman, L., Curry, C., Dressman, M., Elliot, B., Matherne, D., & Stahle, D. (1993). So what's new in the new basals? A focus on first grade. *Journal of Reading Behavior*, 26, 47-73.
- Holiday, B. G. (1985). Towards a model of teacher-child transactional processes affecting black children's academic achievement. In M. B. Spencer, G. K. Brookins, & W. R. Allen (Eds.), *Beginnings: The social and affective development of black children*. (pp. 117-130). New Jersey: Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Krashen, S. (1993). *The power of reading: Insights from the research*. Englewood, CO: Libraries Unlimited.
- Kunjufu, J. (1993). *Hip-Hop v. MAAT*. Chicago, IL: African American Images.
- Ladson-Billings, G. (1994). *The dream keepers: Successful teachers of African American children*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- McCauley, J. K., & McCauley, D. S. (1992). Using choral reading to promote language learning for ESL students. *The Reading Teacher*, 45, 526-535.
- McCracken, R., & McCracken, M. (1979). *Reading, writing and language: A practical guide for primary teachers*. Winnipeg, Canada: Pegasus Press.
- McKissack, P. & McKissack, F. (1994). *African American Inventors*. Brookfield, CN: The Millbrook Press.
- Morrow, L. M. (1992). The impact of a literature-based program on literacy achievement, use of literature, and attitudes of children from minority backgrounds. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 27, 250-275.

- Nieto, S. (1996). *Affirming diversity* (2nd edition). NY: Longman Publishers.
- Norton, D. (1995). *Through the eyes of a child: An introduction to children's literature* (4th ed.). NY: Merrill/Macmillan Publishing Co.
- Pallas, A. M., Natriello, G., & McDill, E. L. (1989). Changing nature of the disadvantaged population: Current dimensions and future trends. *Educational Research*, 18 (5), 16-22.
- Peresich, M. L., Meadows, J. D., & Sinatra, R. (1990). Content area cognitive mapping for reading and writing proficiency. *Journal of Reading*, 33, 424-432.
- Schifini, A. (1994). Language, literacy, and content instruction: Strategies for teachers. In K. Spangenburg, & R. Pritchard, (Eds.), *Kids come in all languages: Reading instruction for ESL students* (pp. 158-179). International Reading Association.
- Stahl, S. A. (1990). Riding the pendulum: A rejoinder to Schickedanz and McGee and Lomax. *Review of Educational Research*, 60, 141-151.
- Weaver, L. (1994). My words: Constructing a meaningful reading vocabulary with young learners. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 18 (1 & 2), 137-139.

William Dee Nichols is a faculty member in the College of Education at the University of North Carolina at Charlotte, 9201 University Circle, Charlotte NC 28223-0001. William H. Rupley and Gwendlyn Webb-Johnson are faculty members in the College of Education at Texas A&M University. Gita Tlusty is a faculty member at the University of North Carolina at Charlotte.