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The study of the lay penitential movement has contributed much to our understanding of the complicated relationship between the laity and religious, particularly during a time of great spiritual diversity. Contributing to an extensive body of literature on this topic, Mary Harvey Doyno’s *The Lay Saint* demonstrates that charity in the devotional practices of lay saints serves as a lens to explore the interactions of ecclesiastical authorities with lay spirituality. Doyno situates her study of sixteen civic cults from 1150 to 1350 in Italy’s lay penitential movement. She has selected saints whose vitae were written within one to two generations after their death to examine the interests of civic authorities, the papacy, and the mendicant orders in defining lay piety (14–15). In addition to vitae, the study traces the rise and decline of lay saints’ cults through civic documents related to the cults’ promotion and material culture that reflected the attitudes of local urban communities toward these saintly figures.

Doyno’s analysis revisits André Vauchez’s assertion that lay sanctity disappeared in Italian communes in the fourteenth century due to a growing emphasis on mysticism (242).¹ For Doyno, the construction of lay piety according to mysticism was only a component of a larger process. She explains that mendicant friars reconstructed lay piety to emphasize visions and prophecy instead of the penitential life. Continuing in the same vein as the studies of Catherine Mooney and Alison More, Doyno’s main argument considers the charisma of lay female penitents to have motivated papal regulations to control the lay penitential movement (243).² Mooney’s and More’s respective studies

---


focus heavily on the attitudes of the papacy and the lay female penitents who refused to adopt regulations imposed on their religious way of life. Doyno’s monograph complements these works by examining the same issues but through the relationship of local communities with lay penitents as their civic saints. In doing so, Doyno demonstrates that regulations directed at lay penitents also had a profound effect on expressions of lay sanctity. Ultimately, she argues that the papacy and the mendicant friars’ efforts to regulate lay penitents led to their disappearance from Italy’s civic religious culture.

The monograph consists of three sections that highlight charity as the intersection between piety, gender, civic life, and religious authority. The first part includes three chapters that consider lay penitents’ charisma as dependent on a combination of their participation in civic issues and penitential acts through devotion to charity work, commitment to social justice, and commercial participation in cultivating artisanal skills (25, 31, 75, 82). Doyno recognizes these qualities were specific to the spirituality of lay male penitents.

Part 2 comprises two chapters that delve deeply into lay female saints’ piety. This section is fundamental to the monograph’s central premise as it is here that Doyno establishes lay female penitents’ charisma as problematic because they challenged the authority of the ecclesiastical hierarchy and the power structures of patriarchal society (133). Foregrounding these discussions are references to regulations by the papacy, including *Supra montem* in 1298. Doyno argues that their mendicant guardians constructed the women’s piety to focus less on charity and instead on prophecy and visionary experiences (144). Doyno sees this fashioning of laywomen’s piety not as a diffusion of mendicant spirituality, but rather as an effort to regulate lay female penitents.

In part 3, Doyno considers the creation of the lay visionary saint based on the model of sanctity for lay female penitents (203). The lay visionary aligned with papal initiatives in creating the Third Order because it promoted piety that was focused on contemplation instead of the active life. Significant to her analysis is her representation of the lay

visionary and the Third Order as complementary of one another in solving the ambiguous religious affiliations of all lay penitents, but especially laywomen. Thus Doyno connects the disappearance of lay saints to ecclesiastical efforts to regulate the lay penitential movement. *The Lay Saint* also provides an important contribution in understanding civic authorities’ and local communities’ roles in shaping lay sanctity according to civic obligations to a community. This is an important emphasis in the monograph that shows the ability of lay communities to influence the sanctity of their patron saints and neighbors, who, in turn, represented the values of local communities. However, there are two limitations in Doyno’s application of this approach. First, her selection of sixteen cults excludes failed canonizations; their exclusion from the monograph suggests that the papacy considered these figures as less of a threat to ecclesiastical authority than those who were canonized. The canonization process, regardless of its outcome, was indicative of the papacy’s recognition of the potential threat these lay saintly figures posed to the institutional church. Second, despite a community’s failure to successfully canonize a saint, the cult that formed around them nevertheless reflected lay spirituality. Consequently, the cults of failed lay saints have the potential to contribute insights to Doyno’s study. Lastly, she limits the laity’s participation in defining the sanctity of civic saints to the *popolo* movements. In doing so, she implies that it was only under these political circumstances that the laity could define sanctity.

Doyno also over-emphasizes the concerns of the mendicants regarding the threat of lay charisma to their authority. Although there were clerics who were worried about the charisma of lay penitents, there were also others who were not. Indeed, Doyno points to this in her examination of *vitae*, which often express that spiritual confessors and counselors of female penitents were more concerned about the women’s spiritual progress than their charismatic authority. However, Doyno’s characterization of the papacy’s interest in controlling the lay penitential movement and how this was shared by the mendicants places the two within a broad category defined by their ability to regulate lay sanctity as religious authorities. The problem with this representation is that it suggests a consistent view of the penitential movement among ecclesiastical authorities. While Doyno distinguishes between the interests of the mendicants and the papacy in the first two sections
of the monograph, her analysis in the final two chapters suggests that these interests merged after the mendicants became the spiritual guardians of lay penitents.

Readers interested in the lay penitential movement will find that Doyno’s innovative examination of charity provides nuanced observations about the underpinnings of lay sanctity and its malleability in the hands of the ecclesiastical hierarchy and the laity. Doyno’s work expands what we know about how the papacy contended with the influences of penitential ideals in lay piety. Far from being a simple undertaking, The Lay Saint sheds light on a complicated topic in the history of medieval Christianity.
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The question of how women cultivated authority in a world that sought to limit them has long been central to feminist medieval studies. Jennifer C. Edwards’s Superior Women: Medieval Female Authority in Poitiers’ Abbey of Sainte-Croix insightfully intervenes in this conversation by arguing that the medieval abbesses at Sainte-Croix in Poitiers relied on two strategies to exercise authority. First, they drew on a network of allies made up primarily of male officials, and, second, they mobilized cultural artifacts related to the abbey’s foundress Saint Radegund to attract new supporters. In demonstrating the continued authority of the abbesses at Sainte-Croix, Edwards valuably nuances the notion of authority itself: authority, she argues, rests not so much in the power to compel obedience by force but in the ability to command respect. Superior Women skillfully illustrates how the abbesses of Sainte-Croix demanded such respect and so obtained support from secular and religious figures over the course of a millennium.