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It is unusual in this era of short-ish and digitized books to read one that could be considered a “tome.” Divided into six discrete sections, plus a lengthy Introduction (by Kerby-Fulton) and brief Epilogue (by Van Engen), this weighty volume contains twenty-one articles, plus six section prefaces, each written by leading scholars in each sub-field. The sheer length and complexity of the volume precludes talking about each article individually, so I will be focusing my remarks more generally and looking at the essays contained in each section as a group.

To begin: this is an excellent, even a superb, volume of essays, if organizationally a little odd. It has something for everyone inclined toward feminist (re)readings of medieval texts of all kinds. There are some less-wonderful essays, which is inevitable in a collection this long and varied, but I was impressed, even delighted, by the inventiveness, creativity, and challenges I found in the volume. Originating from a 2015 conference at the University of Notre Dame’s Medieval Institute, each article is relatively brief but tightly packed: this is not an introductory essay collection, and it is not an easy read. Kerby-Fulton,
in her Introduction, presents the volume as one that is diverse and interdisciplinary. I admit that, other than Part I, which was devoted to Jewish and Muslim women, I did not find the rest of the volume to be all that diverse. Even as the approaches challenge scholarly norms, the “usual” geographical regions—England, France, the Rhineland, and Low Countries—dominate the remainder of the volume. Indeed, Part IV, titled “Multidisciplinary Approaches to Gender, Patronage, and Power,” is devoted to only one location—England—with two of the three articles focusing on the thirteenth century. The absence of any scholarship on central and eastern Europe, the Crusader States, the Iberian peninsula, Scandinavia, the Islamicate world, and the Byzantine Empire, or indeed on any populations other than Western Christian (excepting the three articles in Part I) does rather bely Kerby-Fulton’s claims of diversity. There is, nevertheless, a lot to like about these essays despite the over-promising of the Introduction.

Kerby-Fulton’s Introduction is in fact one of the best essays in the volume—and one that would work well in classroom contexts—because it operates as a manifesto of sorts, embodied in the title “Taking Early Women Intellectuals and Leaders Seriously.” It aligns with the work of other scholars (such as myself) who dispute that women who were successful in doing “stuff,” as well as in suffering things being done to them, were somehow “exceptional” or “unusual.” Kerby-Fulton calls out the people who persist in claiming that female action and effectiveness was rare—and therefore able to be ignored or sidelined in standard historical or literary scholarship. Kerby-Fulton acknowledges the sheer weight of evidence showing that traditional assumptions and presumptions about the past and about the female lived experience are fundamentally flawed and must be reassessed. She admits—as we all must—that misogyny and patriarchy limited (and continue to limit) opportunities for women, but that does not mean that medieval women did not achieve success. This is particularly the case with respect to arguments about women’s education, knowledge-bases, ability to operate as actors in public spaces, and understanding of Latin in the Middle Ages.

As mentioned above, the three articles in Part I, “Scholarship, Law, and Poetry: Jewish and Muslim Women,” focus on non-Christian women in the West, two on Islamicate women as scholars and poets and one on Anglo-Jewish women. These articles are welcome additions to an otherwise homogeneous collection, but they serve to tantalize more than satisfy. I really wanted to learn more, especially since there are so few pieces written in English about women outside the medieval Christian mainstream. After reading the entire collection, I wondered
why these essays were roped off from the others, as the topics could have been incorporated into other subsections where the issues raised were similar or parallel to the ones in these pieces. If diversity was a goal, inclusion of these essays with their Christian sisters would have made that more obvious.

Part II, "Authorship, Intellectual Life, and the Professional Writer," travels more familiar paths by looking at better-known authors—Agnes of Harcourt, Catherine of Siena, Christine de Pizan, and Mary Ward—but the authors of the four articles discuss works that are either of contested authorship or are less well studied. The essay about Mary Ward, by Gemma Simmonds, might seem out of place in this collection, as Ward is a seventeenth-century figure, but Simmonds places her intellectual development firmly in medieval Catholic liturgical culture and I agree: she fits. The three essays in Part III, "Recovering Lost Women’s Authorship," focus on female authors who are not well known or are unknown, but the issues arising from the texts, such as the knowledge base of the authors and the lack of study of their work, are similar to those of the known authors of the Part II articles. An exception, perhaps, is the essay by Jocelyn Wogan-Brown on the possibility that the works attributed to Marie de France were actually created by multiple un-named authors. Here we have a group of well-studied texts that are lumped together under one authorial name in ways Wogan-Brown finds limiting, instead of the usual problems of namelessness of female-authored texts.

The articles in Part IV, "Multidisciplinary Approaches to Gender, Patronage, and Power," seem connected to each other only by their geographical specificity. The first essay discusses the articulation of nonbinary gendering in the Old English version of the story of St. Perpetua, an Early Christian martyr. It shares this subsection with two essays about thirteenth-century subjects—themselves unconnected to each other, as one focuses on a manuscript compiled for Joan Tateshall, a late thirteenth-century noblewoman, as a mechanism of self-creation and auto-education, and the other is a brief study of London widows and the administration of their estates taken from the hustings rolls of the London courts. Each article is useful in its own way—the essay on the Tateshall Miscellany, by Anna Siebach-Larsen, is especially interesting—but the grouping reminded me of one of those Medieval Congress sessions in which the “leftovers” get jumbled together for no intellectually purposeful reason.

The last two subsections, Part V, "Religious Women in Leadership, Ministry, and Latin Ecclesiastical Culture," and Part VI, "Out
of the Shadows: Laywomen in Communal Leadership” are clearly interconnected. It is here that the issues of women as intellectuals—especially in Latin—and as leaders combine in ways that suggest these might have been the original thematic impetuses for the conference itself. The division of the essays (five in Part V and three in Part VI) is made between those centering on professional religious women and others looking at laywomen as leaders in religious movements. This seems a somewhat artificial separation, but Part V is focused mostly on issues of education of female religious and challenges assumptions that women did not learn to read and write Latin, and that their theological writings were simplistic or lacking intellectual sophistication. Part VI’s articles are not connected to each other thematically. Maureen C. Miller’s piece—the only article on Italy—is a study of connections between laywomen as patrons and reformed Benedictine houses in Florence during the upheavals of the Gregorian reforms. Rachel Koopmans’s article focuses on Englishwomen as patrons of miracle cults as depicted in stained glass windows. The final article, by Barbara Newman, analyzes the influence of the laywoman Mechthild of Magdeburg over the nuns of Helfta, in particular Mechthild of Hackeborn. Although clearly different thematically, all the pieces speak to the power wielded by and influence of laywomen on both the establishment of religious spaces and places and what happened in them.

John Van Engen’s brief “Epilogue” summarizing the purposes behind the volume ends with a plea for further challenges to stereotypical presentations of women and new narratives: “If we fall into the trap of viewing women only through the lens of certain medieval stereotypes ... we perpetuate as history certain attitudes or stances which only partially reflected actualities on the ground” (401). While not perfect, the essays in this collection open up avenues for discussion, debate, and exploration that continue to be vitally necessary as we reassess the roles, position, and contributions of women to medieval culture—and after. I do not recommend thinking of this volume as a conceptually integrated whole, because the articles themselves are not fully interconnected and the ways in which the volume is organized is a little too random. However, each individual article operates as a place of challenge and contemplation, which could lead to other scholarly discourses. And that is fine with me.

Linda E. Mitchell
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