



Western Michigan University
ScholarWorks at WMU

Honors Theses

Lee Honors College

4-21-2015

Integration of Resistant Starch in Homemade Pasta

Anja Grommons

Western Michigan University, agrommons@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarworks.wmich.edu/honors_theses



Part of the Dietetics and Clinical Nutrition Commons

Recommended Citation

Grommons, Anja, "Integration of Resistant Starch in Homemade Pasta" (2015). *Honors Theses*. 2568.
https://scholarworks.wmich.edu/honors_theses/2568

This Honors Thesis-Open Access is brought to you for free and open access by the Lee Honors College at ScholarWorks at WMU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Honors Theses by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at WMU. For more information, please contact wmu-scholarworks@wmich.edu.



According to the CDC the leading causes of death and disability in the United States include cancer, coronary vascular disease (CVD), stroke, and diabetes mellitus (DM)¹. These ailments often go hand in hand with obesity and an energy dense diet. Healthy diets high in fiber can not only serve as prevention for disease but can assist in the management of disease. Fiber comes in two forms: dietary fiber and functional fiber. Dietary fiber is a substance comprised of carbohydrate and lignin found in plants that are nondigestible by human enzymes². Functional fiber consists of the nondigestible carbohydrates that have been isolated, extracted, and processed². While both forms are important aspects of a healthy diet, dietary fiber has been associated with lower risk of CVD, improved bowel function, weight control, and glycemic control stability³.

According to the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics (AND), Americans should consume 14 grams of dietary fiber per 1,000 kcal consumed which is roughly 25 grams for adult women and 38 grams for adult men³. The average American eats about 15 grams of dietary fiber each day³. The AND also states that although many fiber supplements are on the market, obtaining fiber from food sources such as whole grains, beans, legumes, fruits, and vegetables is best³. Fiber comes in several forms and has many variations that differ in solubility, water adsorbency, adsorbency, and fermentability.

Resistant starch (RS), a type of fiber, is the fraction of starch that cannot be, or is not easily, enzymatically digested in the gastrointestinal tract or absorbed by humans^{2,4}. RS is comprised of 4 main subtypes: RS 1 - 4. Both RS₁ and RS₂ are considered dietary fibers while RS₃ and RS₄ are considered functional fibers. Each resistant starch has its own reasoning as to why

it cannot be easily digested. RS₁ can be found among cellulose in plant cell walls of whole grains and seeds². Because of its placement, amylase, a digestive enzyme, cannot physically access the starch to digest it². It is partially digested at a very slow rate yet can be totally digested if properly milled⁴. RS₂ is found in tightly packed granules within plant cells. The high amylose content with ungelatinized granules allows for the tight structures present in unripe bananas, legumes, raw potatoes, and high amylose maize^{2,4}. This starch is digested at a very slow rate and can sometimes be totally digested when freshly cooked⁴. RS₃, also known as retrograde starch, can be generated through the process of moist-heat cooking followed by cooling². This includes cooked and cooled rice, potatoes, bread, and high amylose corn^{2,4}. RS₃ can be partially digested at a slow rate which can be improved by reheating of the product⁴. RS₄ is a result of the formation of starch esters and cross-bonded starches through chemical modification and can be found in industrially processed foods including fiber drink supplements, breads, and cake^{2,4}. Due to chemical modification, RS₄ can resist hydrolysis⁴.

Although not a soluble fiber, RS has many characteristics similar to those of soluble fibers which provide many physiological benefits. RS can bind several times its weight in water which produces a viscous, slow-moving solution that often traps nutrients and slows down digestion and absorption². This delay in gastric emptying increases satiety for a longer duration compared to other starches which can assist in weight management.

RS demonstrates adsorption properties by diminishing the absorption of lipids and increasing fecal bile acid excretion which in turn decreases serum cholesterol concentration². RS consumption also decreases the absorption of other nutrients such as glucose which assists

in stabilizing blood glucose levels². In addition, RS itself has a low glycemic index and can reduce post-prandial glycemic and insulinemic responses which may also be beneficial characteristics in the management of diabetes^{5,6}.

Because RS remains mostly undigested, it is left to be fermented in the gut. When fermented, RS can provide carbon as well as energy to the 400-500 bacteria species present in the gut⁶. Fermented RS also generates short chain fatty acids (SCFA) that can be converted to energy. Over 95% of the SCFAs generated can be absorbed and utilized by the body as energy⁴. The acids generated yield about 1.5 to 2.5 kcal per gram². SCFAs activate fatty acid oxidation and inhibit lipolysis which reduces the plasma free fatty acid concentration which may be related to decreased body weight⁷. The SCFAs also improve blood flow in the colon, liver, spleen, and intestines which in turn improves digestive health². The acids present in these SCFAs have the ability to lower the lumen pH which creates an environment less prone to the formation of cancerous tumors⁶.

A minimum intake of 5-6 grams per day of RS is necessary for reductions in insulin and >20 grams per day is necessary for the bowel-related benefits, however Americans consume an average of 4.1 grams of RS per day^{6,8}. Because RS is found in a limited number of food sources it is hard to obtain in the diet on a regular basis which is why incorporation of RS into popular foods is important. As a functional ingredient RS offers many advantages compared to fully digestible forms of fiber. RS is a mild white finely ground powder with an inoffensive flavor⁹. RS is lower in calories compared to fully digestible starches with a minimal swelling capacity^{6,9}. Interest in the benefits of RS is increasing and RS has become a popular ingredient in baked

goods such as cakes, cookies, breads, and in some cases pasta. By integrating this low-calorie, functional ingredient into pasta dough, a popular entree lacking nutritional value can become a fiber-rich dish with many physiological benefits⁹.

A study conducted pasta production up to 20% RS substitution⁸. The study found no significant differences in swelling index, dry matter data, cooking quality, or sensory attributes between RS products and the control product⁸. Another study assessed the cooking loss, texture, and sensory properties of commercially purchased pasta substituted with RS at 10%, 20%, and 50% variations⁹. This study found that RS has a potential application in the partial substitution of pasta for up to 20% substitution. This study found no significant variation in the cooking quality or textural characteristic of the pastas up to 20% substitution. The study also found that there was no significant difference in the sensory attributes of the pastas yet did note that the uncooked pastas with RS substitution were much less yellow than the control which may have an effect on consumer appeal. The study found a correlation with increased RS content and decreased in vitro starch digestion as well.

References

1. Center for Disease Control- <http://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/overview/index.htm>
2. Gropper, S. and Smith, J. Advanced Nutrition and Human Metabolism. 6th Edition. (2013)
3. Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics-
<http://www.eatrightpro.org/resource/practice/position-and-practice-papers/position-papers/health-implications-of-dietary-fiber>
Position of the American Dietetic Association: Health Implications of Dietary Fiber. (2008).
Journal of American Dietetic Association. 108, 1716-1731.
4. Fuentes-Zaragoza, E., Riquelme-Navarrete, M.J., Sanchez-Zapata, E., and Perez-Alvarez, J.A. (2010) Resistant Starch as Functional Ingredient: A Review. Food Research International. 43, 931- 942.
5. Haub, M., Hubach, K., Al-tamimi, E., Ornelas, S., and Seib, P. Different Types of Resistant Starch Elicit Different Glucose Responses in Humans. (2010). Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism. 1-4.
6. Fuentes-Zaragoza, E., Sanchez-Zapata, E., Sendra, E., Sayas, E., Navarro, C., Fernandez-Lopez, J., and Perez-Alvarez, J.A. Resistant Starch as Prebiotic: A Review. (2011). Starch Journal. 63, 406-415.
7. Besten, G., Eunen, K., Groen, A., Venema, K., Reijngoud, D.J., and Bakker, B. The Role of Short-Chain Fatty Acids in the Interplay Between Diet, Gut Microbia, and Host Energy Metabolism. (2013). Journal of Lipid Research. 54, 2325- 2340.

8. Gelencser, T., Veronika, G., Hodsagi, M., and Salgo, A. Evaluation of Quality and Digestibility Characteristics of Resistant Starch-Enriched Pasta. (2007). *Food Bioprocess Technol.* 1:171-179.
9. Aravind, N., Sissons, M., Fellows, C., Blazek, J., and Gilbert, E. Optimisation of Resistant Starch II and III Levels in Durum Wheat Pasta to Reduce in Vitro Digestibility while Maintaining Processing and Sensory Characteristics. (2013). *Food Chemistry.* 136:1100-1109.