



1986

73-Archaeobotanical Analysis of Screened and Flotation Residues from Sites 24N2-227 And 2482-231, Burning Star Mine #5, Jackson County, Illinois

William M. Cremin
Western Michigan University

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarworks.wmich.edu/archaeology_reports_of_investigations

 Part of the [Archaeological Anthropology Commons](#)

WMU ScholarWorks Citation

Cremin, William M., "73-Archaeobotanical Analysis of Screened and Flotation Residues from Sites 24N2-227 And 2482-231, Burning Star Mine #5, Jackson County, Illinois" (1986). *Reports of Investigations*. Paper 50.
http://scholarworks.wmich.edu/archaeology_reports_of_investigations/50

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the Anthropology at ScholarWorks at WMU. It has been accepted for inclusion in Reports of Investigations by an authorized administrator of ScholarWorks at WMU. For more information, please contact maira.bundza@wmich.edu.



William M. Cremin

ARCHAEOBOTANICAL ANALYSIS OF SCREENED AND
FLOTATION RESIDUES FROM SITES 24N2-227 AND 24B2-231,
BURNING STAR MINE # 5, JACKSON COUNTY, ILLINOIS

1986

REPORT OF INVESTIGATIONS NO. 73

DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY
WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF ANTHROPOLOGY
WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY
Kalamazoo, Michigan 49008

The Westfield of Consolidation Coal Company's Burning Star Mine # 5 is located in northeastern Jackson County, Illinois. It lies within but near the southern terminus of the Mt. Vernon Hill Country of the Southern Till Plains Division and is characterized by mature topography and low relief; elevations ranges from about 108 m ASL along the banks of the Little Muddy River, the principal stream draining the study area, to 120 m ASL on adjacent uplands. The northern limits of the Greater Shawnee Hills Section of the Shawnee Hills Division lie about 20 km to the south, where the gently rolling hills and broad alluvial floodplain of the Big Muddy River, to which the Little Muddy is tributary, abruptly give way to the more strikingly elevated and heavily dissected Shawnee Hills (Schwegman 1975).

Floristically, the research area is included in the Oak-Hickory Forest Region of the Western Mesophytic Forest (Braun 1950). Recent vegetation studies summarized by Lopinot (1980: 2-3) suggest that uplands in the Westfield formerly supported thinly timbered post oak flats, dominated by the post oak and blackjack oak, and prairie, white slope woodlands flanking stream bottoms were characterized by white oak and black oak, but with red oak and pignut hickory being important constituents of this community. Bottomland forests were of mixed composition and included water tolerant species of oak and hickory, together with black willow, cottonwood, sycamore, elm, gum, and maple, to name just a few.

Uplands in the immediate vicinity of the sites in question at the time of the Government Land Office surveys in 1807 were thinly

timbered and characterized by a brushy understory of shrub oak, hickory, hazel, grapevines, and briars. The only specific references to native grassland, dominated by big bluestem and with a variety of herbaceous species being present as well, indicate that prairies occurred at a distance of several km to the NE and NW of the sites (Lopinot 1980: 3).

Sites 24B2-227 and 24B2-231 are situated on the lower Little Muddy River at a distance of 3.9 km and 5.1 km NNE of this stream's confluence with the Big Muddy River near the corner of Sections 14, 15, 22, and 23 in Desoto Township (T8S R1W), Jackson County, Illinois. That the Little Muddy is a strongly meandering river along its lower course is indicated by the fact that the aforementioned distances become 9.9 km and 19.1 km, respectively, if one follows the course of the river from its mouth to the locations of these sites.

Site 24B2-227 (also known locally as "Hangin Rock" shelter) is a small east-facing rockshelter located in the river floodplain. It lies about 1.5 m above the riverbank at a point where the stream strikes the base of a prominent sandstone outcrop. Today, only a slight protective overhang is in evidence, with most of the 300 m² of occupation area comprising the talus slope. This site was investigated by personnel from American Resources Group, Ltd. of Carbondale, Illinois in Fall 1985, revealing cultural deposits extending to a depth of more than a meter below the modern surface. Material remains from the limited test excavations suggest the presence of Early Archaic, Early Woodland, Middle Woodland, Late Woodland, and Mississippian components at this site.

Sixteen 10 l flotation samples were collected from various 10 cm

levels in the three excavation units where excavators observed cultural midden to be present. Each general level flotation sample consists of soil extracted from the center and the four corners of the test square. In addition, five samples from one excavation unit represent botanical residues observed and collected during sifting of soil through either 6 mm or 12.5 mm mesh screen. No features were distinguished in the midden deposits, but ceramic association suggests that carbonized plant remains submitted to this analyst are primarily affiliated with the Late Woodland and Mississippian occupations of this rockshelter (Ronald Pulcher, American Resources Group, Ltd., personal communication).

Site 24B2-231 is an open-air site encompassing approximately 20,000 m² of a ridge top located 30 m E of the river. Also excavated by ARG, Ltd. in Fall 1985, this site has revealed a 15-20 cm thick cultural deposit underlying the modern plowzone that contains mixed Middle Woodland and Late Woodland materials.

Thirteen 5 l flotation samples have been submitted for analysis, including eight that were extracted from various 10 cm levels occurring within the limits of the midden in five excavation units and five samples that were collected from three defined feature contexts. General level flotation samples were recovered in the manner described above for 24B2-227, and each sample from the features represents a column of unscreened fill collected from the 10 cm level specified.

Data Presentation

In aggregate, this analyst received 34 samples of botanical residues totaling 50.88 g by weight and 2457 specimens by count.

In the laboratory, all sorting, quantifying, and detailed identification

were accomplished using 10X-20X magnification and reference to standard manuals for wood and seed identification (Core, Cote, and Day 1979; Martin and Barkley 1961; and Montgomery 1977). In addition, many specimens, both wild and domestic, were compared with both fresh and carbonized plant specimens collected and prepared by Mr. David De Fant, who studied the wood charcoal, and this analyst and maintained as a synoptic set in the Archaeology Laboratory at Western Michigan University.

24B2-227

The botanical assemblage from this rockshelter is summarized in Table 1. A total of 160 l of soil from excavation units yielded 28.95 g/ 1227 specimens of carbonized botanical remains, with an additional 5.22 g/ 24 pieces of charred plant material being retrieved from sifting screens.

Residues of carbonized wood are a common constituent in the assemblage, occurring in all screened samples and 15 of 16 flotation samples. Of the 17.37 g/ 480 pieces recovered, 56% by weight and 19% by count have been identified to genus and/or species. None is out of place given the environmental context in which this site occurs, and these data exhibit unanticipated diversity. In declining order of frequency of occurrence in the wood charcoal spectrum, these are: oak - 9; ash - 7; hickory - 4; hackberry - 2; American beech - 2; hop hornbeam - 2; elm - 1; wild black cherry - 1; American chestnut - 1; and Kentucky coffeetree - 1.

Of the 15 proveniences containing wood charcoal for which the volume of soil processed is known, all yielded only trace quantities. Weight by sample ranged from less than 0.01 g - 0.24 g per liter of

Table 1: Plant Residues from Flotation and Screened Samples, 24B2-227, Jackson County, Illinois

<u>Lot no.</u>	<u>ARG no.</u>	<u>Provenience</u>	<u>Sample Volume</u>	<u>Contents wt(g)/ct</u>	<u>Comments</u>
<u>24B2-227</u>					
1	1	3-4N/0-2E (L-2)	10	.06	4
				.07	1
				.11	1
				.06	1
				.10	2
				.24	3
				.57	42
				.02	1
				.22	7
2	2	3-4N/0-2E (L-3)	10	.11	12
				.08	1
				.10	1
				.07	1
				.08	18
3	3	3-4N/0-2E (L-4)	10	.03	1

- Nutshell
Carya spp. (hickory)

- Wood Charcoal
Quercus sp. (red oak group)
Prunus serotina (wild black cherry)
Celtis occidentalis (hackberry)
Fraxinus spp. (ash)
unid. ring-porous unid. charcoal

- Seed unid. seed fragment

- Other
Cucurbita pepo (squash) rind

- Nutshell
Carya spp.

- Wood Charcoal
Quercus sp. (red oak group)
Ostrya virginiana (hop hornbeam)
Fraxinus sp. (ash)
unid. charcoal

- Nutshell
C. ovata (shagbark hickory)

Table 1, cont.

<u>Lot no.</u>	<u>ARG no.</u>	<u>Provenience</u>	<u>Sample Volume</u>	<u>Contents wt (g) / ct</u>	<u>Comments</u>	
				.36	15	<u>Carya</u> spp. (thick-shelled hickory)
				.39	25	<u>Carya</u> spp.
				.24	2	- <u>Wood Charcoal</u>
				.07	1	<u>Fraxinus</u> spp. (ash)
				.02	18	unid. diffuse-porous unid. charcoal
4	4	3-4N/0-2E (L-5)	10	.56	21	- <u>Nutshell</u> <u>Carya</u> spp. (hickory)
				.72	3	- <u>Wood Charcoal</u>
				.33	5	<u>Fraxinus</u> spp. (ash)
				.22	3	unid. ring-porous unid. diffuse-porous unid. charcoal
				.32	15	
				.33	11	- <u>Other</u> <u>C. pepo</u> (squash) rind)
5	5	3-4N/0-2E (L-6)	10	.24	3	- <u>Nutshell</u> <u>Carya</u> spp. (thick-shelled hickory)
				.24	14	<u>Carya</u> spp.
				.65	16	- <u>Wood Charcoal</u> unid. charcoal
6	6	3-4N/0-2E (L-7)	10	.02	2	- <u>Nutshell</u> <u>Carya</u> spp.
				.09	1	- <u>Wood Charcoal</u> <u>Quercus</u> sp. (white oak group)
				.03	1	<u>Fagus grandifolia</u> (American beech)

Table 1, cont.

<u>Lot no.</u>	<u>ARG no.</u>	<u>Provenience</u>	<u>Sample Volume</u>	<u>Contents wt (g) / ct</u>	<u>Comments</u>
7	7	3-4N/0-2E (L-8)	10	.15	7 unid. charcoal
				.74	- Nutshell Carya tomentosa
				.10	(mockernut hickory)
				.07	C. ovata (shagbark hickory)
				3.65	C. cordiformis (bitternut hickory)
				214	Carya spp. (thick-shelled hickory)
				.50	- Wood Charcoal Castanea dentata
				.85	(American chestnut) unid. charcoal
				.03	- Seed Prunus sp. (partial stone of cherry or plum)
				.37	- Other C. pepo (squash) rind
					(a piece of burnt bone weighing .38 g)
8	8	3-4N/0-2E (L-9)	10	.30	- Nutshell Carya spp.
				1.07	Carya spp. (thick-shelled hickory)
				.27	- Wood Charcoal Carya sp.

Table 1, cont.

<u>Lot no.</u>	<u>ARG no.</u>	<u>Provenience</u>	<u>Sample Volume</u>	<u>Contents wt(g) / ct</u>	<u>Comments</u>	
9	9	3-4N/0-2E (L-10)	10	.13 .16 .49	4 10 20	Quercus spp. (white oak group) unid. charcoal - Nutshell Carya spp.
10	10	3-4N/0-2E (L-11)	10	.06 .09 .06 .23	1 2 1 17	- Other C. pepo (squash) rind - Wood Charcoal Quercus spp. Carya sp. unid. charcoal
11	11	3-4N/0-2E (L-12)	10	.05 1.00 2.37 .01	3 18 161 1	- Other C. pepo (squash) rind - Nutshell Carya spp. (thick-shelled hickory) Carya spp. Juglans sp. (black walnut or butternut)
				.22 .17 .13 .13	1 6 2 11	- Wood Charcoal Carya sp. Quercus spp. unid. diffuse-porous unid. charcoal

Table 1, cont.

<u>Lot no.</u>	<u>ARG no.</u>	<u>Provenience</u>	<u>Sample Volume</u>	<u>Contents wt(g)/ct</u>	<u>Comments</u>
12	40	14N-7E (L-2)	10	.01	1 - Seed unid. seed fragment
13	41	14N-7E (L-3)	10	.25	6 - Nutshell <u>Carya</u> spp.
14	42	14N-7E (L-4)	10	.06	4 - Wood Charcoal unid. charcoal
15	43	14N-9E (L-2)	10	.41	19 - Nutshell <u>Carya</u> spp.
				.21	1 - Seed <u>Prunus serotina</u> (wild black cherry)
				.13	8 - Other <u>C. pepo</u> (squash) rind
				.03	1 - Nutshell <u>C. ovata</u>
				.36	15 <u>Carya</u> spp. (thick-shelled hickory)
				.39	25 <u>Carya</u> spp.
				.39	7 - Wood Charcoal unid. charcoal
				.18	16 - Nutshell <u>Carya</u> spp.
				.79	10 - Wood Charcoal <u>Fraxinus</u> spp. (ash)
				.36	9 <u>C. occidentalis</u> (hackberry)
				.12	1 <u>O. virginiana</u> (hop hornbeam)

Table 1, cont.

<u>Lot no.</u>	<u>ARG no.</u>	<u>Provenience</u>	<u>Sample Volume</u>	<u>Contents wt (g) / ct</u>	<u>Comments</u>
16	44	1N-9E (L-3)	10	1.11 94	unid. charcoal
				.17 11	- Other <u>C. pepo</u> (squash) rind
				.11 3	- Nutshell <u>Carya</u> spp.
				1.46 22	- Wood Charcoal <u>Quercus</u> spp. (white oak group)
				.90 76	unid. charcoal
				.31 8	- Other <u>C. pepo</u> (squash) rind
17	-	3-4N/0-2E (L-1)	screened	.42 2	- Wood Charcoal <u>F. grandifolia</u> (American beech) <u>Carya</u> sp.
18	-	3-4N/0-2E (L-3)	screened	.19 1	- Wood Charcoal <u>Ulmus</u> sp. (elm)
19	-	3-4N/0-2E (L-4)	screened	.09 1	- Wood Charcoal <u>Gymnocladus dioica</u> (Kentucky coffeetree)
				.60 4	<u>Fraxinus</u> spp. (ash)
				.84 7	unid. charcoal
				.07 1	- Seed <u>Diospyros virginiana</u> (persimmon)
				.06 1	- Other <u>C. pepo</u> (squash) rind

Table 1, cont.

<u>Lot no.</u>	<u>ARG no.</u>	<u>Provenience</u>	<u>Sample Volume</u>	<u>Contents wt(g) / ct</u>	<u>Comments</u>
20	-	3-4N/0-2E (L-6)	screened	.26	2 - Nutshell <u>Carya</u> spp. (thick-shelled hickory)
				.85	3 - Wood Charcoal <u>Quercus</u> spp. (oak)
				.55	1 <u>Fraxinus</u> sp. (ash)
				.11	1 unid. charcoal
21	-	3-4N/0-2E (L-9)	screened	.57	2 - Wood Charcoal <u>Quercus</u> spp. (red oak group)

soil floated, with the mean density for all samples being 12.54 g/150 l = 0.08. That this mean or average density is almost 7.5 times smaller than the mean density calculated by Lopinot (1980: 8) for Middle Woodland Crab Orchard features at the nearby Consoil site (24B2-26), may reflect factors of differential preservation when comparing wood charcoal recovered from former occupation floors in this rockshelter with the greater protection afforded such fragile residues in pit features at the Consoil site. Unfortunately, Lopinot does not provide information permitting comparison of the relative diversity within the wood charcoal assemblages from the two sites.

Nut residues, aggregating 14.76 g/ 710 specimens, do not compare favorably with wood charcoal in terms of total weight, but nutshell numbers exceed the count recorded for wood charcoal remains by a ratio of almost 1.5: 1. However, if only the 16 flotation samples are considered, nutshell, with a frequency of occurrence of 100%, exceeds wood charcoal both by weight and by count. Thus, it would appear that quantification by weight is skewed or biased by the greater recovery of relatively large fragments of charred wood in screened samples.

All nutshell residues have been identified at least to the level of genus. Hickory nuts are represented in all 17 of 21 samples yielding nutshell, with the only other occurrence of a nut being the trace quantity of Juglans sp. (0.01 g/ 1 specimen) found in a single flotation sample. In 10 cases, it has only proven possible to assign hickory nut residues to the genus Carya. However, for the remaining seven samples the size and condition of the specimens have

permitted recognition of the following species (together with their frequency of occurrence in the samples): shagbark hickory - 3; mocker nut hickory - 1; and bitter nut hickory - 1. In addition, thick-shelled specimens, probably representing either shagbark hickory, mocker nut hickory, pignut hickory, or shellbark hickory, rather than the thin-shelled bitter nut hickory or pecan, occur in six flotation samples.

As was the case with the wood charcoal residues, nothing in the nutshell spectrum appears out of place, arguing strongly for the local harvesting of the autumn nut crop in both the slope woodland and bottomland forest zones occurring in very close proximity to the rockshelter.

By way of comparison with the nutshell assemblage from the nearby Consoil site, it is perhaps noteworthy that hickory nuts dominate in all 16 of 17 flotation samples from features. In fact, hickory nutshell constitutes more than 98% of the total weight of all botanical residues, including wood charcoal, found at this site (Lopinot 1980: 5). The single nutshell fragment that has been identified to the species level is shagbark hickory. In contrast to the 24B2-227 nutshell remains, both acorn and walnut residues are present in 41% and 18% of the Consoil site samples, respectively; albeit they occur only in trace quantities (Lopinot 1980: 5-6).

Volumetrically, the nutshell densities recorded for 24B2-227 flotation samples range from less than 0.01 g - 0.46 g per liter of soil processed, with the mean density of all samples being 14.50 g/160 l = 0.09. The average density recorded for the Consoil site is

2.4 times greater (Lopinot 1980: 8). However, when considering the relative dominance of nutshell to wood in the charcoal (i.e. all potential fuel residues), the mean density of nutshell at Conso1 is 1/3 of that recorded for wood charcoal; whereas at 24B2-227 the average nutshell density of 0.09 is slightly greater than the mean of 0.08 recorded for wood charcoal in flotation samples. And this observation is only further strengthened if the nutshell/wood charcoal ratio of .68 calculated by Lopinot (1980: 8) for the Conso1 site is compared with the ratio of 1.2:1 recorded for 24B2-227. Perhaps this apparent difference in the total composition of all charcoal or fuel residues at the two sites in question reflects differential access to suitable fuel for fires during the season(s) when each of these sites was occupied. If, for example, the rockshelter was occupied during the winter months, a time of year during which access to dry deadwood in the immediate site environs may not have been as great as during the less inclement months of the year when open-air sites like Conso1 were most probably occupied, the occupants of 24B2-227 may have relied to a relatively greater extent on nutshell as a source of fuel for their warming and cooking fires.

Seeds are very poorly represented in the residues from 24B2-227. A total of five specimens, aggregating 0.34 g by weight, or 1% of all botanical material, occur in five samples. Two partial seeds are not identifiable. Fleshy fruits are represented by the occurrences of two stones of Prunus, one of which can be identified as wild black cherry, and a single seed of persimmon. Given the frequency with which seeds occur in the samples, it is not possible

to rule out accidental inclusion during the prehistoric occupation(s) of the site. Alternatively, these fruits could have been harvested in the autumn of the year together with the local nut crop. The sample is just too small to permit a firm conclusion regarding their possible importance to the site's inhabitants.

Finally, 9 occurrences of small fragments of squash rind (*C. pepo*), numbering 56 (4.4%) specimens and weighing 1.70 g (9.8%), comprise the remaining food plant residues in this assemblage, indicating that at least some of the people utilizing the rockshelter over time were engaged in horticulture; at least on a limited basis.

Asch and Asch (1981: 288) note that white squash rind fragments usually occur ubiquitously at many Middle and Late Woodland sites in the Lower Illinois River Valley, they are usually recovered in very small amounts. A similar observation has been made by this analyst (Cremn 1986: 18) at a small Mississippian farmstead in nearby Perry County, Illinois. Given the occurrence of a component attributable to Mississippian people in this rockshelter, the recovery of this (and/or other) tropical cultigen might well have been anticipated--albeit unlikely that this plant was cultivated during the time of year that the site was most likely occupied by that group engaged in this activity.

24B2-231

Thirteen 5 l flotation samples, comprising 40 l of soil from the shallow midden and 25 l of feature fill, provide the context for 16.71 g/ 1206 specimens of carbonized plant material from this open-air site located 9.2 km upstream, but only 1.2 km overland, from 24B2-227. This botanical assemblage is presented in Table 2.

Table 2: Plant Residues from Flotation Samples, 24B2-231, Jackson County, Illinois

<u>Lot no.</u>	<u>ARG no.</u>	<u>Provenience</u>	<u>Sample Volume</u>	<u>Contents wt (g) / ct</u>	<u>Comments</u>
<u>24B2-231</u>					
1	1	40-41N/76-78E (Unit 4, L-2)	5	.54 28	- Nutshell Carya spp. (thick-shelled hickory)
				.08 5	- Wood Charcoal unid. charcoal
2	2	40-41N/106-108E (Unit 5, L-2)	5	.20 3	- Nutshell probably Carya laciniosa (shell bark hickory) C. ovata (shagbark hickory) Carya spp.
				.06 11	- Wood Charcoal unid. charcoal
3	3	40-41N/106-108E (Unit 5, L-3, F-1)	5	.01 3	(numerous fresh seeds of <u>Amaranthus</u> spp.)
				.18 17	- Nutshell probably C. ovata (shagbark hickory) Carya spp. (thick-shelled hickory) Juglans sp. (black walnut or butternut)
				.05 1	
				.14 11	- Wood Charcoal unid. charcoal
				.04 1	- Other C. pepo (squash) rind

Table 2, cont.

<u>Lot no.</u>	<u>ARG no.</u>	<u>Provenience</u>	<u>Sample Volume</u>	<u>Contents wt(g)/ct</u>	<u>Comments</u>
4	4	40-41N/76-78E (Unit 4, L-3, F-2)	5	.23 5	- Nutshell <u>Carya cordiformis</u> (bitternut hickory)
				.48 28	<u>Carya</u> spp. (thick-shelled hickory)
				.76 13	- Wood Charcoal <u>Carya</u> spp. (hickory)
				1.27 104	unid. charcoal
				.01 2	- Seed <u>Polygonum</u> spp. (knot-weed)
				.01 2	<u>Iva annua</u> (marsh-elder)
				.01 1	<u>Rhus glabra</u> (smooth sumac)
				.01 1	unid. seed fragment
				.16 7	- Other <u>C. pepo</u> (squash) rind
5	5	40-41N/76-78E (Unit 4, L-3)	5	.42 72	- Nutshell <u>Carya</u> spp. (thick-shelled hickory)
				.07 1	- Wood Charcoal <u>Fraxinus</u> sp. (ash)
				.12 12	unid. charcoal
6	6	40-41N/106-108E (Unit 5, L-4)	5	.24 20	- Nutshell <u>Carya</u> spp. (thick-shelled hickory)
				.10 11	- Wood Charcoal unid. charcoal

Table 2, cont.

<u>Lot no.</u>	<u>ARG no.</u>	<u>Provenience</u>	<u>Sample Volume</u>	<u>Contents wt (g) / ct</u>	<u>Comments</u>
7	7	40-41N/76-78E (Unit 4, L-4, F-2)	5	.01	1 - Seed <u>I. annua</u> (marshelder)
				2.67	34 - Nutshell <u>C. taciniosa</u> (shell-bark hickory) <u>Carya</u> spp.
				.66	45 - Wood Charcoal <u>Castanea dentata</u> (American chestnut) <u>Carya</u> spp. (hickory) <u>Fraxinus</u> sp. (ash) unid. charcoal
				.06	1
				.82	16
				.08	1
				3.77	360
				.04	30 - Seed <u>P. erectum</u> (knotweed)
				.07	3 - Other <u>C. pepo</u> (squash) rind
8	8	40-41N/76-78E (Unit 4, L-4, F-2)	5	.37	31 - Nutshell <u>Carya</u> spp. (thick-shelled hickory) <u>Quercus</u> spp. acorn kernel fragments
				.24	4
				.09	1 - Wood Charcoal <u>Gymnocladus dioica</u> (Kentucky coffeetree) <u>Quercus</u> spp. (white oak group) <u>Carya</u> sp. (hickory) unid. charcoal
				.21	4
				.06	1
				.23	62

Table 2, cont.

<u>Lot no.</u>	<u>ARG no.</u>	<u>Provenience</u>	<u>Sample Volume</u>	<u>Contents wt(g)/ct</u>	<u>Comments</u>
9	9	50-51N/106-108E (Unit 3, L-3, F-3)	5	.04 26	- Seed <u>P. erectum</u> (knotweed)
				.18 3	- Nutshell <u>Carya</u> spp. (thick-shelled hickory)
				.14 25	unid. nut charcoal
				.10 3	- Wood Charcoal <u>Quercus</u> spp. (white oak group)
				.31 46	unid. charcoal
10	10	50-51N/136-137E (Unit 6, L-2)	5	.01 3	- Nutshell unid. nut charcoal
				.01 1	- Wood Charcoal unid. charcoal
				.01 1	- Seed <u>Polygonum erectum</u> (knotweed)
				.01 1	<u>Polygonum</u> sp. (knotweed)
11	11	40-41N/136-137E (Unit 7, L-2)	5	.09 7	- Nutshell unid. nut charcoal
				.21 17	- Wood Charcoal unid. charcoal
					(12 fresh seeds of weedy annuals)

Table 2, cont.

<u>Lot no.</u>	<u>ARG no.</u>	<u>Provenience</u>	<u>Sample Volume</u>	<u>Contents wt(g)/ct</u>	<u>Comments</u>	
12	12	40-41N/136-137E (Unit 7, L-3)	5	.16	3	- Nutshell <u>C. ovata</u> (shagbark hickory) unfd. nut charcoal
				.08	11	
				.05	4	- Wood Charcoal unfd. charcoal
				.01	1	- Seed <u>P. erectum</u> (knotweed) <u>Rhus glabra</u> (smooth sumac)
13	13	50-51N/76-78E (Unit 2, L-2)	5	.09	9	- Nutshell probably <u>Carya</u> spp.
				.01	1	- Wood Charcoal unfd. charcoal

Wood charcoal is also common in the assemblage from this site, occurring in 100% of the samples and aggregating 48.9% by weight and 59.6% by count of all botanical material. By way of comparison, the residues of wood were observed in 95.2% of the samples and total 50.8% by weight and 38.4% by count in the assemblage from 24B2-227. However, of the 8.17 g/ 719 specimens comprising the wood charcoal, a much smaller percentage from 24B2-231, 28% by weight and 6% by count, is identifiable. As was the case at the rockshelter, all of the wood represented by identified residues could have been collected in the immediate site environs, and each is also present in the 24B2-227 wood charcoal residues. But species diversity is not nearly as great in this assemblage. In declining order of their frequency of occurrence, firewood taxa represented include: hickory - 3; oak- 2; ash - 2; American chestnut - 1; and Kentucky coffeetree - 1.

Here, wood charcoal is again present only in trace quantities, with the weight by sample ranging from less than 0.01 g - 0.95 g per 1 of soil floated. On the average, the wood charcoal density for a sample is 8.17 g/ 65 l = 0.13, or 1.6 times greater than was recorded for the rockshelter. Comparing midden and feature samples, it is perhaps noteworthy that the mean density for feature samples is about 15.5 times greater than the average recorded for samples collected from the midden.

Carbonized nutshell also occurs in 100% of the flotation samples, totaling 8.10 g/ 409 pieces in this assemblage. When comparing wood charcoal and nutshell residues from this site, one observes the reverse of the situation noted for 24B2-227. Whereas the total weight of the two classes of plant remains is nearly identical at this site, particles of wood charcoal are almost 1.8 times more numerous than

the residues of nutshell.

Nutshell has been identified at least to the level of genus

in all but two of the samples. Hickory nuts are present in all

11 samples yielding identified nutshell, with trace quantities

of Juglans sp. nutshell (0.05 g/ 1 specimen) and acorn (Quercus spp.) kernels (0.24 g/ 4 fragments) being recorded for single flotation

samples. In those instances for which the size and condition of

hickory nutshell specimens proved suitable for species identification, the following taxa (together with their frequency of occurrence)

have been recognized: shagbark hickory - 3; shellbark hickory - 2;

and bitternut hickory - 1. In addition, thick-shelled species are

represented by nut residues in six flotation samples. As with the

rockshelter, this observation argues for more intensive exploitation

of the thick-shelled hickories in adjacent slope woodland and bottom-

land forest zones on the part of the site's inhabitants.

Nutshell densities recorded for flotation samples from 24B2-231

range from less than 0.01 g - 0.67 g per 1 of soil processed, with

the mean density for all samples being 8.10 g/ 65 l = 0.12. This

average is almost 1.4 times greater than the mean recorded for

24B2-227, but is only slightly more than 1/2 the average density

calculated by Lopinot (1980: 8) for the nearby Conso1 site. Comparing

level and feature samples reveals mean densities of 0.06 g and 0.21 g,

respectively. The latter is almost identical to the mean of 0.22 g

recorded for Crab Orchard features at Conso1.

Comparing relative dominance of nutshell to wood charcoal remains

at the three sites on the Little Muddy River results in the following

ratios: Conso1 - .68; 24B2-227 - 1.2; and 24B2-231 - .92. This may be

interpreted as indicating that the total composition of all fuel residues (nutshell and wood charcoal) at 24B2-231 is intermediate between the open-air Conso1 site and the rockshelter. That is, nutshell contributes to the total fuel needs of this site's occupants to a greater degree than at the Conso1 site, but was of lesser importance as a source of fuel for fires than at 24B2-227.

The most significant difference in the two botanical assemblages under study pertains to carbonized seed residues. Although not very abundant at 24B2-231, and distributed such that only two flotation samples contain the overwhelming majority of all specimens, the seeds from this site reveal an interesting aspect of subsistence behavior in Woodland times. In aggregate, 67 seeds, weighing a mere 0.17 g, occur in six of 13 samples. They are poorly represented in the midden, with just five specimens being recovered from three flotation samples. However, the three 5 l flotation samples from Feature 2 contain 62 carbonized seeds. And with the exception of a single unidentified specimen, all can be readily assigned to a genus and/or species. In declining order of their occurrence in the flotation samples, these are: knotweed - 5; marshelder - 2; and

smooth sumac - 2.

With respect to the fruit of the smooth sumac, this berry was popular among historic Indian groups in eastern North America and either consumed when fresh or dried and stored for winter use. The most frequently reported use, however, was as a beverage, or "false lemonade" (Fernald and Kinsey 1958: 262). Although typically occurring in small quantities in flotation samples from sites throughout the Midwest, at the Late Woodland Jamestown Village in nearby Perry

County this analyst (Cremitt 1985: 28) observed smooth sumac to comprise 45.4% by count and evidence the greatest ubiquity recorded for all seed residues in the assemblage. However, that only two seeds have been identified at 24B2-231, one in a midden sample and the second in a sample from Feature 2, does make it difficult to rule out the possibility of accidental inclusion during the pre-historic occupation(s) rather than deliberate utilization being the agency responsible for their presence.

Similarly, the three specimens identified as marshelder represent the occurrence of a single seed in a midden sample and two seeds in a sample from Feature 2. Morphologically, these are the seeds of "wild" marshelder. They do not evidence the large size typical of marshelder achenes from numerous Middle and Late Woodland contexts in west central Illinois, where archaeobotanists have inferred that the observed increase in seed size among archaeological specimens when compared with seeds from modern wild stands of this plant is the result of domestication of this oily seed-bearing weedy annual in the past (Asch and Asch 1981: 286).

In the absence of seed ubiquity and abundance in this botanical assemblage, the presence of marshelder might best be explained as the result of accidental inclusion. Alternatively, some harvesting of wild stands of marshelder for their seeds cannot be entirely dismissed as a possibility; especially in light of the fact that two seeds were recovered from Feature 2, where many seeds of knotweed were also found;

That the intensive harvesting, if not actual cultivation, of the seeds of commensal plants was undertaken by the inhabitants of

this site is very strongly suggested by the occurrence of 61 carbonized seeds of knotweed in five flotation samples. Three samples from Feature 2 yielded 58 seeds, with the remaining three specimens coming from two midden samples. The concentration of knotweed seeds in the feature is probably the result of a single episode of burning, and the fact that more than 96% of these seeds have been positively identified as Polygonum erectum (erect knotweed), a well-documented cuttigen in the Lower Illinois River Valley during Middle and Late Woodland times (Asch and Asch 1981: 285-287), constitutes a persuasive argument for the importance of starch seeds to the site's inhabitants.

In his examination of carbonized seeds associated with Crab Orchard features at the Consoil site, Lopinot (1980: 12-13) has noted that a total of seven specimens of erect knotweed occurred in four of 17 flotation samples studied. While his observation is not nearly so convincing as that reported for 24B2-231, his review of the regional literature supports his contention of starch seed utilization and possible cultivation of this plant in Woodland times--a position that is further strengthened by the presence of a few carbonized seeds of goosefoot (Chenopodium bushianum) and maygrass (Phalaris caroliniana) in the flotation samples.

Charred squash rind, aggregating a mere 0.27 g by weight and 11 specimens by count, has been recorded in one flotation sample from Feature 1 and two of three samples collected from Feature 2 at 24B2-231. While squash rind fragments are less ubiquitous and abundant than previously reported for 24B2-227, the presence of this tropical cuttigen in both assemblages from the Little Muddy drainage is notable. Although Lopinot did not observe squash rind in the

carbonized residues from the Consol site, he does report the possible association of maize with one Middle Woodland Crab Orchard feature, as well as the very definite occurrence of well-developed Northern or Eastern Flint corn in a Mississippian feature on this same site (1980: 15-16).

Concluding Remarks

Although the botanical assemblages from sites 24B2-227 and 24B2-231 constitute samples that are too small to permit far reaching interpretation regarding prehistoric plant utilization in this drainage, a problem that is further exacerbated by the difficulty of associating flotation samples with specific occupations of these multicomponent sites, these data have proven most interesting in several respects.

First, the admittedly small quantities of wood charcoal at both sites exhibit unanticipated species diversity, especially at 24B2-227, reflecting utilization (and preservation) of a relatively wide range of firewoods in the immediate site environs. However, it is difficult to ascertain whether this diversity signals non-selective collection of this resource. Comparing nutshell and wood charcoal at these sites with similar residues at the nearby Consol site may indicate greater reliance on nutshell for fuel by the prehistoric occupants of the rockshelter than those groups inhabiting the open-air sites. This may in part be a reflection of seasonality (and/or duration?) of occupation.

Secondly, when the quantities of nutshell in the carbonized residues are compared with those that this analyst has examined from sites in nearby Perry County, in terms of both abundance and

species diversity, it would appear that the nutshell spectra from the Little Muddy sites are comparatively impoverished. Does this perhaps indicate a diminished role for this resource in the area under consideration? Or does this observation reflect on the context, size, and number of flotation samples available for this analysis?

Thirdly, while possible "native" cultigens have been observed at both open-air sites in the study area, the evidence for intensive seed harvesting and/or cultivation suggested by the concentration of charred knotweed seeds in Feature 2 at 24B2-231 affords the best single example of this subsistence strategy in the general area of these sites with which the analyst is currently familiar. Two smaller concentrations of 27 and 28 seeds of erect knotweed were previously reported by this analyst for the Late Woodland Jamestown site on Galum Creek, another tributary of the Big Muddy River, in Perry County (Cremitt 1985: 27-28). Nevertheless, without a larger sample of carbonized residues to study, the potential significance of the aforementioned observation regarding the role of starchy seeds in the overall subsistence strategies of the prehistoric residents of the Little Muddy and other tributary watersheds in this general area cannot be fully evaluated.

Finally, it has been recognized for some time that the tropical cultigen, *C. pepo*, together with three other oily seeds, marshelder, sunflower, and bottle gourd, and the starchy seeds of knotweed, goosefoot, and maygrass, has played a role of some importance in the subsistence of Middle and Late Woodland populations in west central Illinois. However, while squash seeds are seldom recovered, reflecting the very fragile nature of the achene and its inability to

withstand even partial combustion, squash rind fragments do occur ubiquitously at many sites; albeit they are recovered in very small quantities. It bodes well for the future of archaeological research, and subsistence studies in general, that similar observations are now being noted for Woodland (and Mississippian) sites in tributary drainages of the Big Muddy River Valley of southern Illinois.

References Cited

- Asch, N.B., and D.L. Asch
 1981 Archaeobotany of Newbridge, Carlin, and Weitzer--the White Hall components. In Faunal exploitation and resource selection: early Late Woodland subsistence in the lower Illinois Valley, by B.W. Styles, Appendix B, pp. 275-291. Northwestern University Archaeological Program Scientific Papers 3.
- Braun, E.L.
 1950 Deciduous forests of eastern North America. Blakiston, Philadelphia.
- Core, H.A., W.A. Cote, and A.C. Day
 1979 Wood structure and identification. Syracuse University Press, Syracuse, New York.
- Cremin, W.M.
 1985 Archaeobotanical analysis of flotation residues from the Jamestown site (21C4-14), the Northfield, Burning Star Mine # 4, Perry County, Illinois. Department of Anthropology, Western Michigan University, Report of Investigations 69. Kalamazoo.
- 1986 Prehistoric plant residues from the Bonnie Creek site (21C4-46), a Mississippian farmstead in the Northfield, Burning Star Mine # 4, Perry County, Illinois. Department of Anthropology, Western Michigan University, Report of Investigations 72. Kalamazoo.
- Fernald, M.L., and A.C. Kinsey
 1958 Edible wild plants of eastern North America. Harper and Row, New York.

- Lopinot, N.H. 1980 An archaeological analysis of macroremains from Crab Orchard tradition features on the Consoil site. Ms. on file, Center for Archaeological Investigations, Southern Illinois University-Carbondale.
- Martin, A.C., and W.D. Barkley 1961 Seed identification manual. University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Montgomery, F.H. 1977 Seeds and fruits of plants of eastern Canada and northeastern United States. University of Toronto Press.
- Schwegman, J. 1975 The natural divisions of Illinois. In Guide to the vascular flora of Illinois, compiled by R.H. Mohlenbrock, pp. 1-47. Southern Illinois University Press, Carbondale.